

On-line Table 1: Subgroup analysis of primary clinical end points and qualitative worst stenosis by distance of injection from worst level of stenosis and epidural injection approach

Primary Clinical Endpoint, Injection Approach Subgroup, Distance of Injection from Maximum Stenosis Subgroup	Max. Qualitative Central Stenosis on Imaging	Corticosteroid and Lidocaine						Interaction				
		Baseline		3 Weeks Mean (SD)		6 Weeks Mean (SD)		3 Weeks Mean (SD)		6 Weeks Mean (95% CI)		
		No.	Mean (SD)	No.	Mean (SD)	No.	Mean (SD)	No.	Mean (95% CI)	3 Weeks Coefficient (95% CI)	6 Weeks Coefficient (95% CI)	
All	≤1 lumbar spinal level											
Mild	41	15.8(4.7)	13.7(6.3)	30	17.2(4.5)	13.2(5.4)	13.8(6.2)	-1.5(-3.6-0.6)	-0.4(-2.7-2.0)	-0.1(-1.4-1.2)	-0.5(-2.0-0.9)	
Moderate	48	16.0(3.9)	12.0(6.2)	30	16.7(4.8)	9.6(7.1)	11.4(6.9)	-2.4(-4.8-0.0)	-0.8(-3.3-1.7)	-1.8(-3.4 to -0.2)	-0.5(-2.0-0.9)	
Severe	70	15.2(4.1)	13.4(5.3)	85	15.2(4.3)	11.8(5.5)	11.4(5.5)	-1.8(-3.4 to -0.2)	-1.4(-3.1-0.4)	-1.1(-2.6-0.4)	-0.5(-2.0-0.9)	
Interlaminar	All											
Mild	30	15.8(4.7)	13.4(6.5)	41	17.4(4.2)	13.5(5.2)	14.1(5.8)	-1.4(-3.8-1.0)	-0.6(-3.4-2.1)	-0.1(-1.4-1.2)	.46	
Moderate	36	16.8(3.9)	12.0(6.7)	24	17.9(4.1)	10.1(7.4)	12.2(7.2)	-2.5(-5.1-0.1)	0.3(-3.6-3.2)	-2.5(-5.1-0.1)	.24	
Severe	53	15.4(4.0)	13.5(4.5)	55	16.1(4.4)	10.7(6.0)	11.2(6.3)	-3.5(-5.4 to -1.6)	-2.3(-4.4 to -0.1)	-1.1(-2.6-0.4)	.24	
≤1 lumbar spinal level												
Mild	29	15.7(4.8)	13.1(6.4)	40	17.4(4.2)	13.4(5.2)	14.1(5.9)	-1.3(-3.7-1.1)	-0.5(-3.3-2.3)	-0.1(-1.4-1.2)	.19	
Moderate	33	16.6(3.9)	11.7(6.6)	22	17.9(4.3)	9.7(7.3)	11.9(7.1)	-2.5(-5.3-0.3)	-0.3(-3.3-2.7)	-1.2(-2.8-0.4)	.14	
Severe	47	15.7(3.9)	13.8(4.5)	49	16.3(4.1)	10.9(5.8)	11.2(5.9)	-3.4(-5.4 to -1.4)	-2.6(-4.9 to -0.3)	-1.2(-3.0-0.6)	.19	
Transformaminal	All											
Mild	12	16.2(4.5)	15.5(6.0)	7	16.3(5.8)	11.9(6.5)	12.6(8.1)	-3.3(-7.5-0.9)	-0.8(-5.8-4.2)	2.2(-0.1-4.5)	.54	
Moderate	15	14.7(3.9)	12.5(5.4)	10	14.0(4.6)	10.2(7.1)	10.2(7.5)	-1.6(-5.5-2.3)	-1.6(-5.8-2.7)	-0.1(-1.4-1.2)	.54	
Severe	29	14.4(4.8)	12.2(6.8)	38	14.1(4.1)	13.2(4.8)	11.9(4.9)	0.9(-14-3.2)	0.4(-2.2-3.0)	2.3(-0.1-4.7)	.53	
≤1 lumbar spinal level												
Mild	12	16.2(4.5)	15.5(6.0)	7	16.3(5.8)	11.9(6.5)	12.6(8.1)	-3.3(-7.7-1.1)	-0.7(-5.7-4.3)	0.6(-0.1-4.7)	.06	
Moderate	15	14.7(3.9)	12.5(5.4)	8	13.4(4.7)	9.4(7.2)	10.0(6.6)	-1.9(-6.3-2.5)	-1.2(-5.0-3.6)	0.9(-1.9-3.6)	.53	
Severe	23	14.3(4.5)	12.3(6.8)	38	13.8(4.1)	13.1(4.9)	11.7(4.9)	1.1(-15-3.7)	0.7(-2.1-3.5)	0.7(-2.1-3.5)	.53	
Leg pain NRS	All											
≤1 lumbar spinal level												
Mild	41	7.0(1.7)	5.2(2.8)	47	7.1(2.1)	4.7(2.4)	5.4(2.7)	-0.5(-1.6-0.6)	0.4(-0.8-1.6)	0.0(-0.7-0.7)	.92	
Moderate	48	7.6(1.7)	4.6(3.0)	30	6.9(2.4)	3.0(2.6)	3.3(2.8)	-1.1(-2.4-0.2)	-0.8(-1.1-0.5)	-0.3(-1.0-0.7)	.51	
Severe	70	7.0(1.6)	5.1(2.9)	47(2.8)	85	7.2(1.7)	4.7(2.5)	-0.5(-13-0.3)	-0.2(-11-0.7)	-0.1(-10-0.7)	.51	
Interlaminar	All											
Mild	30	7.1(1.5)	5.0(2.8)	41	7.1(2.0)	4.6(2.3)	5.5(2.6)	-0.6(-19-0.7)	0.8(-0.7-2.2)	-0.1(-10-0.7)	.74	
Moderate	36	8.0(1.6)	4.5(3.3)	39(3.5)	24	7.3(2.3)	3.1(2.9)	3.4(3.2)	-1.2(-2.6-0.3)	-0.3(-1.9-1.2)	-0.1(-10-0.7)	.74
Severe	53	7.0(1.6)	5.1(2.7)	48(2.7)	55	7.3(1.6)	4.4(2.7)	4.2(2.9)	-0.9(-19-0.2)	-0.7(-18-0.5)	-0.1(-10-0.7)	.74
≤1 lumbar spinal level												
Mild	29	7.1(1.5)	4.9(2.8)	40	7.1(2.1)	4.6(2.3)	5.5(2.6)	-0.5(-18-0.8)	0.9(-0.5-2.3)	-0.2(-11-0.6)	.58	
Moderate	33	8.0(1.6)	4.4(3.2)	42(3.6)	22	7.4(2.3)	2.9(2.7)	3.2(3.0)	-1.3(-2.8-0.3)	-0.7(-2.3-0.8)	-0.2(-11-0.6)	.58
Severe	47	7.1(1.6)	5.2(2.8)	49	7.4(1.6)	4.3(2.7)	4.2(2.9)	-0.9(-2.0-0.2)	-0.8(-2.0-0.4)	0.7(-0.5-1.9)	.74	
Transformaminal	All											
Mild	12	6.8(2.3)	5.7(2.8)	7	7.4(2.4)	5.0(3.3)	4.9(3.1)	-0.9(-3.1-1.4)	-1.3(-3.8-1.2)	0.7(-0.5-1.9)	.25	
Moderate	15	6.9(1.8)	4.9(2.3)	51(2.5)	10	5.8(1.9)	3.1(2.0)	3.8(2.2)	-1.2(-3.3-1.0)	-0.8(-3.0-1.3)	1.1(-0.2-2.4)	.10
Severe	29	7.1(1.6)	5.1(0.8)	45(2.9)	38	7.1(1.8)	5.3(2.7)	5.2(2.6)	0.2(-10-1.4)	0.7(-0.6-2.0)	0.7(-0.5-2.0)	.24
≤1 lumbar spinal level												
Mild	12	6.8(2.3)	5.7(2.8)	5.8(3.0)	7	7.4(2.4)	5.0(3.3)	4.9(3.1)	-0.9(-3.2-1.4)	-1.4(-3.9-1.2)	1.2(-0.2-2.6)	.08
Moderate	15	6.9(1.8)	4.8(2.3)	51(2.5)	8	5.8(2.2)	3.4(2.2)	3.8(2.3)	-0.8(-3.1-1.6)	-0.8(-3.2-1.6)	1.2(-0.2-2.6)	.08
Severe	23	7.0(1.6)	4.8(2.9)	42(3.0)	36	7.0(1.8)	5.2(2.2)	5.1(3.0)	0.4(-0.9-1.7)	0.9(-0.5-2.4)	1.2(-0.2-2.6)	.08

Note:—Max. indicates maximum.

^a Negative treatment effect values favor the corticosteroid and lidocaine group; positive values favor the lidocaine-only group. Differences were adjusted for baseline outcome values and recruitment site.

^b P values indicate the level of statistical significance for the ANCOVA interaction coefficient term between the maximum qualitative central stenosis and the injectate group differing from zero, which would indicate a differential clinical response to injectate based on the degree of spinal stenosis. For subgroup analyses, a statistical significance threshold of $P < .01$ was adopted to minimize false discoveries.

On-line Table 2: Subgroup analysis of primary clinical end points and quantitative minimum thecal sac cross-sectional area by distance of injection from worst level of central stenosis and injection approach

Primary Clinical Endpoint and Injection Approach Subgroup	Distance of Injection from Maximum Stenosis Subgroup	3 Weeks Interaction Coefficient (95% CI)	P Value ^a	6 Weeks Interaction Coefficient (95% CI)	P Value ^a
Disability RDQ					
All	≤1 Lumbar spinal level	0.02 (-0.02-0.06)	.30	0.02 (-0.02-0.06)	.27
Interlaminar	All	0.02 (-0.02-0.06)	.14	0.03 (-0.01-0.07)	.13
	≤1 Lumbar spinal level	0.03 (-0.01-0.06)	.10	0.03 (-0.01-0.06)	.19
Transforaminal	All	-0.03 (-0.11-0.05)	.45	0.02 (-0.06-0.10)	.57
	≤1 Lumbar spinal level	-0.03 (-0.12-0.05)	.41	0.02 (-0.07-0.11)	.59
Leg pain NRS					
All	≤1 Lumbar spinal level	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.28	0.02 (0.00-0.04)	.07
Interlaminar	All	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.24	0.02 (0.00-0.04)	.03
	≤1 Lumbar spinal level	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.14	0.02 (0.00-0.04)	.03
Transforaminal	All	-0.01 (-0.05-0.03)	.71	0.00 (-0.04-0.04)	.88
	≤1 Lumbar spinal level	-0.01 (-0.05-0.03)	.58	0.00 (-0.05-0.04)	.83

^a P values indicate the level of statistical significance for the ANCOVA interaction coefficient term between quantitative minimum thecal sac cross-sectional area and the injectate group differing from zero, which would indicate a differential clinical response to injectate based on the degree of spinal stenosis. For subgroup analyses, a statistical significance threshold value of $P < .01$ was adopted to minimize false discoveries.

On-line Table 3: Exploratory analyses of primary and secondary clinical end points with qualitative imaging predictors

Clinical Endpoint and Imaging Predictor	Lidocaine						Corticosteroid and Lidocaine						Treatment Effect (95% CI) ^a				Interaction	
	No.	Mean (SD)	Baseline	3 Weeks	6 Weeks	Baseline	3 Weeks	6 Weeks	Mean (SD)	Mean (95% CI)	3 Weeks	6 Weeks	Mean (95% CI)	Coefficient (95% CI)	P Value ^b	6 Weeks Interaction Coefficient (95% CI)	P Value ^b	
Disability RDQ																		
Maximum qualitative central stenosis	42	15.9 (4.6)	13.9 (6.3)	13.4 (6.8)	4.8	17.2 (4.4)	13.3 (5.4)	13.9 (6.1)	-1.6 (-36.0)	-0.5 (-28.1)	-0.1 (-13.12)	.90	-0.5 (-19.0)	.50				
Mild	51	16.2 (4.0)	12.2 (6.3)	11.5 (7.1)	34	16.8 (4.6)	10.1 (7.2)	11.6 (7.2)	-2.3 (-4.5 to -0)	-0.4 (-2.8 to 0)								
Moderate	51	15.0 (4.3)	13.1 (5.3)	12.3 (6.1)	93	15.2 (4.4)	11.7 (5.6)	11.5 (5.7)	-1.8 (-3.3 to -0.3)	-0.13 (-2.9 to 0.4)	-0.1 (-1.1 to 0.9)	.82	-0.2 (-14.0)	.68				
Severe	82	15.0 (4.3)	13.1 (5.3)	12.3 (6.1)														
Maximum qualitative CSF effacement	20	16.2 (5.3)	15.0 (6.2)	13.7 (7.2)	25	17.0 (4.9)	12.5 (5.4)	12.2 (5.9)	-3.2 (-6.2 to -0.2)	-2.2 (-5.5 to 1)								
None	32	15.4 (3.8)	11.4 (6.2)	11.5 (6.5)	20	17.2 (4.2)	13.2 (7.0)	13.2 (7.5)	0.8 (-2.0 to 3.6)	0.7 (-2.5 to 3.9)								
Mild	31	15.9 (4.3)	14.2 (5.3)	12.3 (6.6)	38	16.7 (4.8)	11.6 (6.4)	13.2 (6.8)	-3.4 (-5.8 to -1.0)	0.1 (-2.7 to 2.8)								
Moderate	67	15.1 (4.3)	13.4 (5.7)	12.8 (6.2)	75	15.0 (4.3)	11.5 (5.8)	11.1 (5.9)	-2.0 (-3.6 to -0.3)	-1.7 (-3.6 to 0.1)								
Leg pain NRS																		
Maximum qualitative central stenosis	42	7.0 (1.7)	5.2 (2.8)	5.0 (2.8)	48	7.2 (2.1)	4.7 (2.4)	5.4 (2.7)	-0.6 (-17.0)	0.3 (-0.9 to 1.6)								
Mild	51	7.7 (1.7)	4.6 (3.0)	4.3 (3.3)	34	6.9 (2.3)	3.1 (2.7)	3.5 (2.9)	-1.2 (-24.0)	-0.5 (-17.0)								
Moderate	82	7.0 (1.6)	5.1 (2.7)	4.7 (2.7)	93	7.2 (1.7)	4.8 (2.5)	4.6 (2.8)	-0.5 (-13.0)	-0.2 (-10.0)								
Severe																		
Maximum qualitative CSF effacement																		
None	20	6.9 (1.9)	5.8 (2.7)	4.8 (3.0)	25	6.6 (2.4)	4.6 (2.6)	4.7 (2.9)	-1.3 (-2.8 to 0.3)	-0.1 (-1.8 to 1.6)								
Mild	32	7.5 (1.3)	4.3 (3.1)	4.4 (2.8)	20	7.5 (1.9)	4.1 (2.5)	5.5 (3.0)	-0.1 (-16 to 13)	1.2 (-0.5 to 2.8)								
Moderate	31	7.7 (1.9)	5.7 (2.7)	5.2 (3.1)	38	7.0 (2.0)	3.9 (2.9)	4.2 (2.8)	-1.5 (-2.8 to 0)	-0.8 (-2.2 to 0.6)								
Severe	67	6.9 (1.5)	5.3 (2.7)	5.0 (2.8)	75	7.2 (1.7)	4.7 (2.4)	4.6 (2.7)	-0.7 (-1.6 to 0.2)	-0.5 (-15 to 0.4)								
Back Pain NRS																		
Maximum qualitative central stenosis	42	6.9 (4.9)	4.8 (2.5)	4.7 (2.6)	48	6.7 (2.5)	4.7 (2.4)	5.0 (2.6)	0.0 (-10 to 11)	0.3 (-0.8 to 1.5)								
Mild	51	7.1 (7.1)	2.3 (2.7)	4.4 (2.9)	34	6.5 (3.1)	2.8 (2.7)	3.8 (3.1)	-1.3 (-24 to -0.1)	-0.5 (-16 to 0.7)								
Moderate	82	6.1 (6.1)	4.6 (2.7)	4.1 (2.5)	93	6.6 (2.0)	4.2 (2.6)	4.3 (2.8)	-0.7 (-15 to 0.1)	0.0 (-0.8 to 0.8)								
Severe																		
Maximum qualitative CSF effacement																		
None	20	7.6 (1.8)	5.3 (2.8)	5.3 (2.8)	25	6.3 (3.0)	4.3 (2.3)	4.2 (2.8)	-0.6 (-2.0 to 0.9)	-0.8 (-24 to 0.8)								
Mild	32	7.2 (2.1)	4.0 (2.4)	4.2 (2.6)	20	6.5 (3.1)	4.6 (3.0)	5.1 (3.4)	1.0 (-0.4 to 2.4)	1.2 (-0.4 to 2.7)								
Moderate	31	5.5 (3.7)	3.3 (2.8)	3.9 (2.7)	38	6.4 (2.4)	3.3 (2.5)	4.2 (2.9)	-1.5 (-2.7 to -0.3)	-0.1 (-14 to 12)								
Severe	67	6.1 (2.3)	4.8 (2.5)	4.4 (2.4)	75	6.5 (2.0)	4.3 (2.5)	4.2 (2.6)	-0.7 (-16 to 0.1)	-0.3 (-12 to 0.6)								
Mean leg and back pain NRS																		
Maximum qualitative central stenosis	42	6.4 (1.7)	4.7 (1.7)	4.6 (1.8)	48	6.7 (1.7)	4.3 (1.6)	4.8 (1.8)	-0.5 (-11 to 0.2)	0.2 (-0.6 to 1.0)								
Mild	51	7.0 (1.3)	4.3 (1.9)	4.1 (2.1)	34	6.4 (1.9)	3.4 (1.8)	3.6 (1.9)	-0.7 (-15 to 0)	-0.4 (-12 to 4)								
Moderate	82	6.5 (1.1)	4.5 (1.6)	4.4 (1.9)	93	6.7 (1.2)	4.4 (1.6)	4.4 (1.9)	-0.2 (-0.7 to 0.3)	-0.1 (-17 to 15)								
Severe																		
Maximum qualitative CSF effacement																		
None	20	6.4 (1.4)	5.0 (1.6)	4.5 (2.0)	25	6.3 (2.1)	4.3 (1.7)	4.4 (1.9)	-0.8 (-17 to 0.2)	-0.2 (-13 to 1.0)								
Mild	32	6.7 (0.9)	4.2 (1.8)	4.1 (1.8)	20	6.9 (1.6)	3.9 (1.6)	4.9 (2.0)	-0.3 (-12 to 0.6)	0.8 (-0.3 to 1.9)								
Moderate	31	7.0 (1.6)	4.9 (1.9)	4.6 (1.9)	38	6.4 (1.7)	3.9 (1.9)	4.0 (1.9)	-0.9 (-17 to 0)	-0.5 (-14 to 4)								
Severe	67	6.4 (0.9)	4.6 (1.5)	4.6 (1.9)	75	6.5 (1.2)	4.3 (1.6)	4.3 (1.7)	-0.4 (-14 to 12)	-0.4 (-14 to 12)								
SSQ symptom subscale																		
Maximum qualitative central stenosis	42	3.2 (0.7)	2.8 (0.8)	2.7 (0.8)	48	3.2 (0.6)	2.5 (0.6)	2.7 (0.8)	-0.3 (-0.5 to 0)	0.1 (-0.2 to 0.4)								
Mild	51	3.1 (0.7)	2.6 (0.7)	2.7 (0.9)	34	3.2 (0.6)	2.4 (0.8)	2.4 (0.8)	-0.2 (-0.5 to 0)	-0.2 (-0.5 to 0)								
Moderate	81	3.1 (0.6)	2.8 (0.7)	2.6 (0.7)	93	3.2 (0.6)	2.6 (0.7)	2.6 (0.7)	-0.2 (-0.4 to -0)	0.0 (-0.2 to 0.2)								
Severe																		
Maximum qualitative CSF effacement																		
None	20	3.3 (0.7)	3.0 (0.7)	2.8 (0.8)	25	3.1 (0.6)	2.3 (0.6)	2.5 (0.7)	-0.6 (-10 to -0.3)	-0.2 (-0.6 to 0.2)								
Mild	32	3.0 (0.7)	2.5 (0.8)	2.5 (0.8)	20	3.3 (0.6)	2.7 (0.6)	2.8 (0.9)	0.2 (-0.2 to 0.5)	0.2 (-0.2 to 0.6)								
Moderate	31	3.1 (0.7)	2.8 (0.6)	2.6 (0.8)	38	3.2 (0.6)	2.5 (0.8)	2.6 (0.8)	-0.3 (-0.6 to 0)	-0.1 (-0.5 to 0.2)								
Severe	66	3.1 (0.5)	2.8 (0.6)	2.6 (0.7)	75	3.2 (0.6)	2.6 (0.7)	2.6 (0.7)	-0.2 (-0.4 to 0)	-0.1 (-0.3 to 0.1)								

Continued on next page

On-line Table 3: Continued

Clinical Endpoint and Imaging Predictor	Lidocaine						Corticosteroid and Lidocaine						Treatment Effect (95% CI) ^a						Interaction			
	No.	Baseline		3 Weeks		6 Weeks		Baseline	3 Weeks	6 Weeks	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (95% CI)	Mean (95% CI)	Mean (95% CI)	Mean (95% CI)	Coefficient (95% CI)	P Value ^b	6 Weeks Interaction Coefficient (95% CI)	P Value ^b		
		No.	Mean (SD)	No.	Mean (SD)	No.	Mean (SD)															
SSQ physical function subscale																						
Maximum qualitative central stenosis																						
Mild	42	2.4 (0.6)	2.2 (0.7)	48	2.5 (0.5)	2.2 (0.6)	2.5 (0.5)	2.2 (0.6)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	0.0 (-0.2-0.2)	.99	0.0 (-0.2-0.2)	.80			
Moderate	51	2.5 (0.5)	2.1 (0.6)	34	2.6 (0.5)	2.2 (0.7)	2.1 (0.7)	2.1 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	-0.1 (-0.4-0.1)	0.1 (-0.1-0.4)					
Severe	81	2.5 (0.5)	2.3 (0.6)	92	2.6 (0.5)	2.2 (0.6)	2.6 (0.5)	2.2 (0.6)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	-0.1 (-0.3-0.0)	0.1 (-0.1-0.3)					
Maximum qualitative CSF effacement																						
None	20	2.5 (0.6)	2.3 (0.6)	21	2.5 (0.5)	2.1 (0.6)	2.5	2.5 (0.5)	2.1 (0.6)	2.1 (0.6)	2.1 (0.8)	2.1 (0.8)	2.1 (0.8)	2.1 (0.8)	2.1 (0.8)	-0.2 (-0.5-0.1)	0.0 (-0.3-0.4)					
Mild	32	2.4 (0.5)	2.0 (0.5)	21	2.1 (0.6)	2.0	2.5 (0.4)	2.3 (0.6)	2.3 (0.6)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	0.2 (-0.1-0.5)	0.2 (-0.2-0.5)					
Moderate	31	2.5 (0.6)	2.4 (0.6)	23	2.3 (0.6)	38	2.5 (0.5)	21 (0.7)	2.4 (0.7)	2.4 (0.7)	2.4 (0.7)	2.4 (0.7)	2.4 (0.7)	2.4 (0.7)	2.4 (0.7)	-0.3 (-0.5-0.0)	0.0 (-0.3-0.3)					
Severe	66	2.6 (0.5)	2.4 (0.6)	23	2.3 (0.6)	74	2.6 (0.5)	22 (0.5)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	2.3 (0.7)	-0.2 (-1.4-1.2)	0.0 (-1.4-1.2)					

^a Negative treatment effect values favor the corticosteroid and lidocaine group; positive values favor the lidocaine-only group. Differences were adjusted for baseline outcome values and recruitment site.

^b P values indicate the level of statistical significance for the ANCOVA interaction coefficient term between maximum qualitative central stenosis and the injectate group differing from zero, which would indicate a differential clinical response to injectate based on the degree of spinal stenosis. For exploratory analyses, a statistical significance threshold value of $P < .10$ was adopted to minimize false discoveries.

On-line Table 4: Exploratory analyses of primary and secondary clinical end points with all quantitative and summary qualitative imaging predictors

Clinical End Point and Imaging Predictor	3 Weeks Interaction Coefficient (95% CI)	P Value	6 Weeks Interaction Coefficient (95% CI)	P Value ^a
Disability RDQ				
Minimum angle-corrected thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.40	0.02 (-0.02-0.06)	.17
Minimum thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.44	0.02 (-0.02-0.06)	.26
Mean angle-corrected thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.02 (0.00-0.04)	.14	0.01 (-0.03-0.05)	.50
Mean thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.02 (-0.01-0.05)	.11	0.01 (-0.03-0.05)	.62
Minimum angle-corrected thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.11 (-0.35-0.57)	.62	0.34 (-0.18-0.86)	.20
Minimum thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.10 (-0.34-0.54)	.64	0.25 (-0.25-0.75)	.34
Mean angle-corrected thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.32 (-0.24-0.88)	.25	0.27 (-0.37-0.91)	.39
Mean thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.33 (-0.21-0.87)	.21	0.21 (-0.39-0.81)	.49
Minimum thecal sac AP diameter on sagittal images	0.50 (0.02-0.98)	.04	0.62 (0.06-1.18)	.03
Mean thecal sac AP diameter on sagittal images	0.72 (0.16-1.28)	.01	0.61 (-0.03-1.25)	.05
Minimum thecal sac ML diameter	0.28 (-0.08-0.64)	.11	0.24 (-0.16-0.64)	.23
Mean thecal sac ML diameter	0.21 (-0.23-0.65)	.33	0.12 (-0.36-0.60)	.62
Mean qualitative central stenosis score	-0.38 (-2.74-1.98)	.75	-1.06 (-3.66-1.54)	.40
Mean qualitative CSF effacement score	-0.41 (-2.43-1.61)	.68	-0.99 (-3.21-1.23)	.37
Leg pain NRS				
Minimum angle-corrected thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.33	0.02 (0.00-0.04)	.06
Minimum thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.33	0.02 (0.00-0.03)	.06
Mean angle-corrected thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.27	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.15
Mean thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.01 (-0.01-0.02)	.31	-0.01 (-0.03-0.01)	.15
Minimum angle-corrected thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.05 (-0.19-0.29)	.71	0.21 (-0.05-0.47)	.12
Minimum thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.04 (-0.20-0.28)	.75	0.18 (-0.08-0.44)	.17
Mean angle-corrected thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.06 (-0.24-0.36)	.67	0.20 (-0.12-0.52)	.23
Mean thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.05 (-0.23-0.33)	.72	0.20 (-0.11-0.51)	.20
Minimum thecal sac AP diameter on sagittal images	0.11 (-0.15-0.37)	.42	0.36 (0.08-0.64)	.01
Mean thecal sac AP diameter on sagittal images	0.17 (-0.13-0.47)	.26	0.38 (0.06-0.70)	.02
Minimum thecal sac ML diameter	0.07 (-0.11-0.25)	.44	0.16 (-0.04-0.36)	.12
Mean thecal sac ML diameter	0.12 (-0.12-0.36)	.30	0.14 (-0.10-0.38)	.25
Mean qualitative central stenosis score	0.58 (-0.68-1.84)	.36	-0.23 (-1.61-1.15)	.74
Mean qualitative CSF effacement score	-0.04 (-1.12-1.04)	.94	-0.97 (-2.11-0.17)	.09
Back pain NRS				
Minimum angle-corrected thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.20	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.49
Minimum thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.20	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.51
Mean angle-corrected thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.07	0.00 (-0.02-0.02)	.84
Mean thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.01 (0.00-0.03)	.10	0.00 (-0.01-0.02)	.94
Minimum angle-corrected thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.11 (-0.13-0.35)	.35	0.10 (-0.16-0.36)	.45
Minimum thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.10 (-0.12-0.32)	.37	0.08 (-0.16-0.32)	.52
Mean angle-corrected thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.15 (-0.13-0.43)	.28	0.03 (-0.29-0.35)	.85
Mean thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.13 (-0.15-0.41)	.35	0.01 (-0.29-0.31)	.95
Minimum thecal sac AP diameter on sagittal images	0.17 (-0.07-0.41)	.17	0.23 (-0.03-0.49)	.08
Mean thecal sac AP diameter on sagittal images	0.30 (0.02-0.58)	.03	0.25 (-0.05-0.55)	.10
Minimum thecal sac ML diameter	0.11 (-0.07-0.29)	.23	0.10 (-0.10-0.29)	.32
Mean thecal sac ML diameter	0.20 (-0.02-0.42)	.07	0.05 (-0.19-0.29)	.66
Mean qualitative central stenosis score	-0.48 (-1.70-0.74)	.43	-0.54 (-1.82-0.74)	.40
Mean qualitative CSF effacement score	-0.87 (-1.88-0.14)	.08	-0.88 (-1.94-0.18)	.10
Mean leg and back pain NRS				
Minimum angle-corrected thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.00 (-0.01-0.01)	.65	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.06
Minimum thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.00 (-0.01-0.01)	.65	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.06
Mean angle-corrected thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.00 (-0.01-0.01)	.45	0.01 (-0.01-0.03)	.14
Mean thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.00 (-0.01-0.01)	.53	0.01 (0.00-0.02)	.16
Minimum angle-corrected thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.00 (-0.15-0.15)	.99	0.14 (-0.04-0.32)	.12
Minimum thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	-0.01 (-0.15-0.13)	.94	0.12 (-0.04-0.28)	.17
Mean angle-corrected thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.02 (-0.16-0.20)	.85	0.13 (-0.09-0.35)	.24
Mean thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.00 (-0.17-0.18)	.96	0.12 (-0.08-0.32)	.23
Minimum thecal sac AP diameter on sagittal images	0.03 (-0.13-0.19)	.73	0.23 (0.05-0.41)	.01
Mean thecal sac AP diameter on sagittal images	0.08 (-0.10-0.26)	.38	0.25 (0.03-0.47)	.02
Minimum thecal sac ML diameter	0.02 (-0.10-0.14)	.76	0.11 (-0.03-0.25)	.10
Mean thecal sac ML diameter	0.05 (-0.09-0.19)	.48	0.10 (-0.06-0.26)	.23
Mean qualitative central stenosis score	0.46 (-0.32-1.24)	.23	-0.03 (-0.93-0.87)	.95
Mean qualitative CSF effacement score	0.03 (-0.63-0.69)	.94	-0.62 (-1.36-0.12)	.10
SSSQ symptom subscale				
Minimum angle-corrected thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	.70	0.00 (0.00-0.01)	.09
Minimum thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	.72	0.00 (0.00-0.01)	.10
Mean angle-corrected thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	.60	0.00 (0.00-0.01)	.62
Mean thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	.54	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	.57
Minimum angle-corrected thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.02 (-0.04-0.08)	.49	0.06 (0.00-0.12)	.07
Minimum thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.02 (-0.04-0.08)	.48	0.05 (-0.01-0.11)	.09
Mean angle-corrected thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.03 (-0.05-0.11)	.41	0.02 (-0.06-0.10)	.59
Mean thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.03 (-0.03-0.09)	.33	0.03 (-0.05-0.11)	.50
Minimum thecal sac AP diameter on sagittal images	0.04 (-0.02-0.10)	.22	0.09 (0.03-0.15)	.01
Mean thecal sac AP diameter on sagittal images	0.03 (-0.03-0.09)	.36	0.06 (-0.02-0.14)	.16
Minimum thecal sac ML diameter	0.01 (-0.03-0.05)	.65	0.03 (-0.01-0.07)	.17
Mean thecal sac ML diameter	0.00 (-0.06-0.05)	.89	0.03 (-0.03-0.09)	.40
Mean qualitative central stenosis score	0.11 (-0.19-0.41)	.45	0.00 (-0.33-0.33)	1.00
Mean qualitative CSF effacement score	0.11 (-0.13-0.35)	.37	-0.10 (-0.38-0.18)	.47

Continued on next page

On-line Table 4: Continued

Clinical End Point and Imaging Predictor	3 Weeks Interaction Coefficient (95% CI)	P Value	6 Weeks Interaction Coefficient (95% CI)	P Value ^a
SSSQ physical function subscale				
Minimum angle-corrected thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	.36	0.00 (0.00-0.01)	.25
Minimum thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	.48	0.00 (0.00-0.01)	.39
Mean angle-corrected thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	.34	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	.66
Mean thecal sac cross-sectional area	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	.47	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	.87
Minimum angle-corrected thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.02 (-0.02-0.06)	.32	0.04 (-0.02-0.10)	.16
Minimum thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.02 (-0.02-0.06)	.42	0.03 (-0.03-0.09)	.32
Mean angle-corrected thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.03 (-0.03-0.09)	.31	0.02 (-0.04-0.08)	.50
Mean thecal sac AP diameter on axial images	0.02 (-0.04-0.08)		0.01 (-0.05-0.07)	.67
Minimum thecal sac AP diameter on sagittal images	0.04 (-0.02-0.10)	.17	0.05 (-0.01-0.11)	.09
Mean thecal sac AP diameter on sagittal images	0.04 (-0.02-0.10)	.17	0.04 (-0.02-0.10)	.25
Minimum thecal sac ML diameter	0.02 (-0.02-0.06)	.27	0.01 (-0.03-0.05)	.63
Mean thecal sac ML diameter	0.01 (-0.03-0.05)	.64	0.01 (-0.05-0.07)	.60
Mean qualitative central stenosis score	0.04 (-0.22-0.30)	.77	0.06 (-0.24-0.36)	.68
Mean qualitative CSF effacement score	-0.08 (-0.30-0.14)	.43	-0.12 (-0.36-0.12)	.34

^a P values indicate the level of statistical significance for the ANCOVA interaction coefficient term between imaging predictor and injectate group differing from zero, which would indicate a differential clinical response to injectate based on the degree of spinal stenosis. For exploratory analyses, a statistical significance threshold value of $P < .01$ was adopted to minimize false discoveries.

On-line Table 5: Exploratory analyses of primary imaging measures among subgroups defined by degree of improvement in primary clinical end points

Responder Subgroup Definition ^a	Nonresponders						Responders						ANCOVA ^c		
	Lidocaine			Corticosteroid and Lidocaine			Lidocaine			Corticosteroid and Lidocaine			Interaction (95% CI)		P Value
	No.	Mean (95% CI)	No.	Mean (95% CI)	No.	Mean (95% CI)	No.	Mean (95% CI)	No.	Mean (95% CI)	No.	Mean (95% CI)	Coefficient (95% CI)		
Disability RDQ: $\geq 30\%$ improvement at 3 weeks	122	2.2 (2.1-2.4)	107	2.3 (2.1-2.4)	42	2.3 (2.1-2.6)	64	2.4 (2.2-2.6)	0.02 (-0.37-0.40)	.93					
Disability RDQ: $\geq 30\%$ improvement at 3 weeks	107	71.2 (64.3-78.2)	95	72.8 (65.3-80.3)	32	68.7 (59.2-78.3)	58	71.4 (58.5-84.2)	-4.2 (-23.4-15.0)	.66					
Disability RDQ: $\geq 50\%$ improvement at 3 weeks	139	2.3 (2.1-2.4)	131	2.3 (2.2-2.4)	25	2.2 (1.9-2.5)	40	2.3 (2.1-2.6)	0.12 (-0.34-0.58)	.61					
Disability RDQ: $\geq 50\%$ improvement at 3 weeks	120	71.0 (64.5-77.6)	118	72.3 (65.6-78.9)	19	67.8 (55.4-80.1)	35	72.6 (55.6-89.6)	-6.1 (-29.3-17.1)	.60					
Disability RDQ: $\geq 50\%$ improvement at 3 weeks	115	2.3 (2.1-2.4)	109	2.3 (2.1-2.4)	53	2.3 (2.1-2.5)	61	2.4 (2.2-2.6)	0.10 (-0.26-0.46)	.58					
Disability RDQ: $\geq 30\%$ improvement at 6 weeks	99	71.2 (64.1-78.4)	99	73.8 (66.6-81.0)	43	67.7 (57.9-77.4)	53	69.1 (58.1-80.1)	-4.0 (-22.0-14.0)	.66					
Disability RDQ: $\geq 50\%$ improvement at 6 weeks	131	2.3 (2.1-2.4)	132	2.3 (2.2-2.4)	37	2.2 (2.0-2.5)	38	2.4 (2.1-2.6)	0.18 (-0.24-0.60)	.38					
Disability RDQ: $\geq 50\%$ improvement at 6 weeks	113	69.9 (63.2-76.6)	117	72.6 (66.0-79.2)	29	68.5 (56.4-80.7)	35	73.4 (60.1-86.6)	-7.5 (-28.1-31.1)	.47					
Maximum qualitative central stenosis	90	2.2 (2.0-2.4)	80	2.3 (2.1-2.5)	73	2.3 (2.1-2.5)	91	2.3 (2.1-2.5)	-0.12 (-0.48-0.24)	.49					
Minimum thecal sac cross-sectional area	82	70.9 (63.0-78.5)	73	72.3 (63.7-80.9)	56	70.3 (62.2-78.5)	80	72.1 (62.4-81.7)	-3.1 (-20.5-14.3)	.72					
Maximum qualitative central stenosis	109	2.2 (2.1-2.4)	107	2.3 (2.2-2.5)	54	2.3 (2.1-2.5)	64	2.4 (2.1-2.6)	-0.16 (-0.52-0.20)	.37					
Minimum thecal sac cross-sectional area	98	70.3 (63.0-77.6)	98	71.8 (64.5-79.2)	40	70.3 (60.4-80.2)	55	74.1 (62.7-85.5)	-5.4 (-24.0-13.2)	.57					
Maximum qualitative central stenosis	84	2.2 (2.0-2.3)	89	2.2 (2.1-2.4)	84	2.4 (2.2-2.5)	81	2.4 (2.2-2.6)	-0.12 (-0.46-0.22)	.48					
Minimum thecal sac cross-sectional area	77	69.1 (61.0-77.3)	80	73.8 (65.8-81.8)	65	69.3 (60.9-77.7)	72	72.3 (63.5-81.2)	-7.7 (-24.7-9.3)	.37					
Leg pain NRS: $\geq 30\%$ improvement at 6 weeks	103	2.2 (2.1-2.4)	101	2.3 (2.1-2.4)	65	2.3 (2.1-2.5)	59	2.4 (2.2-2.6)	0.04 (-0.32-0.40)	.84					
Leg pain NRS: $\geq 50\%$ improvement at 6 weeks	91	67.9 (60.5-75.4)	101	72.8 (65.7-79.9)	51	69.3 (59.4-79.3)	51	75.4 (65.4-85.4)	-10.8 (-28.6-7.0)	.22					

^a Patients were categorized into responder subgroups based on the degree of improvement, at least 30% or 50%, in the primary clinical outcome measures of the RDQ disability score or the leg pain NRS at 3 or 6 weeks.

^b For each clinical responder subgroup, the number of patients is indicated for each epidural/injectate group. The mean and 95% confidence intervals for all subgroups: Maximum/worst qualitative central stenosis was scored as follows: 0 = normal; 1 = mild; 2 = moderate stenosis; and 3 = severe stenosis. The reported minimum thecal sac cross-sectional areas were angle-corrected.

^c To evaluate differences in primary imaging measures between responder subgroups, we used ANCOVA models with each primary imaging measure as a dependent variable with the epidural/injectate group and clinical response status as categorical variables, adjusting for baseline outcome values and recruitment site. P values indicate the level of statistical significance for the ANCOVA interaction coefficient term between the responder subgroup and the injectate group differing from zero, which would indicate a differential imaging measure between injectate groups based on clinical response.