



Get Clarity On Generics

Cost-Effective CT & MRI Contrast Agents



FRESENIUS
KABI

WATCH VIDEO

AJNR

Giant cauda equina schwannoma: CT appearance.

R E Osborn and J D DeWitt

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1985, 6 (5) 835-836

<http://www.ajnr.org/content/6/5/835.citation>

This information is current as
of August 14, 2025.

Giant Cauda Equina Schwannoma: CT Appearance

Robin E. Osborn¹ and John D. DeWitt²

A review of the literature revealed that very few cases of spinal schwannomas have been detected and diagnosed by computed tomography (CT) [1-5]. We report a case in which a cauda equina schwannoma was accurately delineated with CT.

Case Report

A 38-year-old man had a 10 year history of low back pain and progressive weakness and loss of sensation of the left lower leg and foot during the past 7 months.

Plain films of the lumbar spine revealed the effects of chronic bone erosion: (1) scalloping of the posterior surfaces of the vertebral bodies L2-S1 (fig. 1A); (2) increased interpedicular distances with diminished transverse and vertical pedicular dimensions; and (3) laminar erosions. Myelography demonstrated complete block at L2.

Contrast-enhanced CT showed a uniformly dense, soft-tissue mass with attenuation coefficients of about 70 H. The chronic erosive changes were better delineated by CT (fig. 1B). The mass occupied the entire spinal canal from L2 to S1. Extension of the tumor at L4-L5 and L5-S1 resulted in widening of the intervertebral foramina and characteristic "dumbbell"-shaped lesions of spinal schwannomas (fig. 1C).

Discussion

The two most common primary tumors of the lumbar spinal canal, schwannomas and ependymomas, usually cannot be differentiated radiographically [2]. CT was superior to myelography and plain films for detection and localization of the giant cauda equina schwannoma. It demonstrated the extension of the tumor into the intervertebral foramina and yielded a more




Fig. 1.—A, Scalloping of posterior surfaces of vertebral bodies. B, Chronic bone erosion of pedicles, laminae, and posterior vertebral surfaces with intracanalicular soft-tissue mass. C, Foraminal extension of schwannoma.

Received December 17, 1983; accepted after revision July 6, 1984.

¹ Department of Radiology, Oklahoma Osteopathic Hospital, Ninth at Jackson, Tulsa, OK 74127. Address reprint requests to R. E. Osborn.

² Department of Neurology, Oklahoma Osteopathic Hospital, Tulsa, OK 74127.

accurate assessment of bone erosion than did conventional techniques [4, 5]. The findings of chronic bone erosion suggested a long-standing, benign etiology.

The CT appearance of small extradural schwannomas may be pathognomonic but cannot be interpreted as unequivocally benign [4, 6]. The CT findings of a suspected giant cauda equina schwannoma, with intra- and extradural components, are suggestive but not pathognomonic. The differential diagnosis would include other benign as well as primary and metastatic malignant neoplasms.

REFERENCES

1. Egund N, Ekelund L, Sako M, Persson B. CT of soft-tissue tumors. *AJR* **1981**;137:725-729
2. Rengachary SS, O'Boynick P, Batnitzky S, Kepes JJ. Giant intrasacral schwannoma: case report. *Neurosurgery* **1981**;9:573-577
3. Yang WC, Zappulla R, Malis L. Neurolemmoma in lumbar intervertebral foramen. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* **1981**;5:904-906
4. Aubin ML, Jardin C, Vignaud DBJ. Computerised tomography in 32 cases of intraspinal tumor. *J Neuroradiol* **1979**;6:81-92
5. Nakagawa H, Huang YP, Malis LI, Wolf BS. Computed tomography of intraspinal and paraspinal neoplasms. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* **1977**;1:377-390
6. Bernardino ME, Zornoza J. The musculoskeletal system. In: Haaga JR, Alfidi RJ, eds. *Computed tomography of the whole body*, 1st ed. St. Louis: Mosby, **1983**:934-952