



Get Clarity On Generics

Cost-Effective CT & MRI Contrast Agents



FRESENIUS
KABI

WATCH VIDEO

AJNR

Do We Need Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents for Routine MRI Surveillance of Unoperated Pituitary Macroadenoma?

A.A. Alali, P.B. Hanagandi and P.J. Maralani

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2022, 43 (7) 1024-1028

doi: <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A7554>

<http://www.ajnr.org/content/43/7/1024>

This information is current as
of August 19, 2025.

Do We Need Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents for Routine MRI Surveillance of Unoperated Pituitary Macroadenoma?

A.A. Alali, P.B. Hanagandi, and P.J. Maralani

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: The use of gadolinium-based contrast agents contributes to the cost of MR imaging and prolongs image-acquisition time. There are also recent concerns regarding gadolinium deposition, particularly in patients who require frequent follow-up MRIs. The purpose of this study was to assess whether gadolinium-based contrast agents are needed during MR imaging follow-up for unoperated pituitary macroadenoma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: A total of 105 patients with unoperated pituitary macroadenoma who underwent follow-up MR imaging of the sella were included in this retrospective study. The craniocaudal dimension, cavernous sinus invasion grading, and optic pathway compression were assessed independently on coronal T2WI and compared with coronal T1-weighted images with gadolinium-based contrast agents (T1 postcontrast images). The agreement between the T2WI and T1 postcontrast images for the craniocaudal dimension was assessed using the intraclass correlation coefficient; for the cavernous sinus invasion and optic pathway compression, it was assessed using κ statistics.

RESULTS: There was excellent agreement for the craniocaudal dimensions between T2WI and T1 postcontrast images (intraclass correlation coefficient = 0.96, $P < .001$; 95% CI, 0.84–0.99). Additionally, there was almost-perfect agreement between cavernous sinus invasion and optic pathway compression between T2WI and T1 postcontrast images, with $\kappa = 0.95$ and 0.84, respectively ($P < .001$).

CONCLUSIONS: MR imaging of the sella without the use of gadolinium-based contrast agents could potentially be considered for the follow-up of unoperated pituitary macroadenomas. This choice can reduce the MR imaging examination cost and acquisition time and avoids potential adverse effects of gadolinium-based contrast agents.

ABBREVIATIONS: GBCA = gadolinium-based contrast agent; ICC = intraclass correlation coefficient; TIC = T1 postcontrast images

Pituitary adenoma is a benign slow-growing tumor with an estimated prevalence of 14.4% in postmortem and 22.5% in imaging studies.¹ Macroadenomas represent up to 31% of pituitary adenomas in epidemiologic studies.^{2,3} Patients with macroadenoma who are not candidates for upfront surgery or elect not to have surgery require MR imaging follow-up to assess tumor size, cavernous sinus invasion, and mass effect on the optic pathways with commonly used gadolinium-based contrast agents (GBCAs).

GBCAs increase the cost of MR imaging, prolong scan time, and require insertion and discontinuation of intravenous access,

which further contribute to scan costs. GBCAs are also associated with adverse reactions at a rate of 0.07%–2.4%.^{4,5} Additionally, repeat GBCA administration can lead to permanent gadolinium deposition in the brain.^{6–10} The clinical significance of these deposits is unknown. For these reasons, the risks must be weighed against benefits before administering GBCA.

The purpose of this study was to assess whether GBCAs are needed for routine MR imaging follow-up of unoperated pituitary macroadenomas. We hypothesized that coronal T2WI and coronal post-GBCA T1-weighted images (T1C) have the same performance for assessment of craniocaudal dimension, cavernous sinus invasion, and optic pathway compression in patients with unoperated pituitary macroadenomas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective, single-center study was approved by local institutional review board (King Abdullah International Medical Research Center) with a waiver of informed consent. The initial

Received March 28, 2022; accepted after revision May 4.

From the Division of Neuroradiology (A.A.A.), King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; Department of Medical Imaging (P.B.H.), King Abdulaziz Medical City National Guard Health Affairs, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; and Division of Neuroradiology (P.J.M.), Department of Medical Imaging, University of Toronto, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Please address correspondence to Akeel A. Alali, MD, FRCPC, ABR, Division of Neuroradiology, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, College of Medicine, Clinical Affairs, postal code: 11481, mail code: 1418, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; e-mail: aliaak@ksau-hs.edu.sa
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A7554>

search of our data base including patients 14 years of age and older with a diagnosis of pituitary macroadenoma who underwent MR imaging of the sella between January 2010 and September 2021 revealed 119 consecutive patients. Five were excluded because they did not have GBCA-enhanced scans, and 9 were excluded because they had prior pituitary surgery. A total of 105 patients were included in the study (Fig 1).

MR Imaging Scanning Settings

The patients were scanned on 3T Achieva (Philips Healthcare), 1.5T Espree (Siemens), or 3T Discovery (GE Healthcare) scanners. The imaging parameters for coronal T1C and coronal T2WI are detailed in Table 1. Gadoterate meglumine (Dotarem; Guerbet) was used as the GBCA for all patients.

Image Analysis

The first routine MR imaging follow-up of the sella was used for analysis to ensure that the dedicated pituitary protocol was performed for all patients. All scans were anonymized, and we constructed 2 separate batches: One contained only coronal T2WIs, and the other one

contained only coronal T1Cs. To avoid potential bias, we analyzed the T1C batch 1 month after the T2WI batch analysis was completed. Analysis was performed by a neuroradiologist with 4 years of experience (A.A.A., reader 1), who was blind to all clinical data.

For each case, the maximum craniocaudal dimension of the macroadenoma was measured. The cavernous sinus invasion was assessed using the Knosp classification (Fig 2).¹¹ The optic pathway (prechiasmatic optic nerves, optic chiasm, or optic tracts) compression was assessed and classified into 3 categories: no contact, abutment without displacement, and compression with displacement.

To assess interrater reliability, we randomly chose 31 patients, and another neuroradiologist with 10 years of experience (P.B.H., reader 2) reviewed them independently using the same method as reader 1.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used for continuous variables including mean (SD) and range. A difference of ≥ 2 mm along the craniocaudal dimension between the baseline and follow-up examination was considered notable growth or shrinkage. The agreement between the coronal T2WI and T1C for the craniocaudal dimension was assessed using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC).¹² The agreement of the cavernous sinus invasion and optic pathway compression was assessed using κ statistics.¹³

All *P* values were 2-sided, and a *P* < .05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using STATA, Version 17 (StataCorp).

RESULTS

A total of 105 patients, including 57 women (54.28%) and 48 men (45.71%), with pituitary macroadenoma were included in this study, with a mean age of 48.5 (SD, 15.79) years (range, 16–87 years).

Analysis of Interval Growth

The mean time between the baseline and analyzed scans was 10.41 (SD, 5.96) months (range, 2–36 months). Thirty-eight/105 patients had routine brain MR imaging without dedicated sellar sequences as their baseline scan. To assess interval growth, we excluded these, with the remaining 67 patients




FIG 1. Flow chart shows patient selection.

Table 1: MR imaging parameters

Scanner	Coronal Sequence	TR (ms)	TE (ms)	Flip Angle	FOV (mm)	Section Thickness (mm)	Voxel Size (mm)	Image Matrix	Acquisition Time (Min)
Philips	T1WI	450	10	90°	130	2.5 or 3	0.7 × 0.7 × 2.5	184 × 184	3:45
	T2WI	3000	80	90°	130	2.5 or 3	0.7 × 0.7 × 2.5	184 × 185	2:32
GE	T1WI	471	9	111°	150	2.5 or 3	0.6 × 0.8 × 2.5	256 × 192	2:35
	T2WI	2800	103.9	111°	150	2.5 or 3	0.5 × 0.8 × 2.5	320 × 192	3:06
Siemens	T1WI	400	8.6	150°	140	2.5 or 3	0.8 × 0.5 × 2.5	179 × 256	2:50
	T2WI	3200	96	150°	140	2.5 or 3	0.8 × 0.5 × 2.5	184 × 256	2:25











	Grade 0	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4
T2WI					
T1 postgadolinium					

FIG 2. Coronal T2WI versus T1C show the different grades of cavernous sinus invasion using the Knosp classification; grade 0, the lesion does not extend beyond the medial carotid line (red line); grade 1, the lesion extends to the medial line but does not reach the intercarotid line (blue line); grade 2, the tumor extends beyond the intercarotid line but does not extend beyond the lateral line (yellow line); grade 3, the tumor extends to the lateral line more so on the left (yellow line); and grade 4, there is complete encasement of the cavernous carotid artery.

with dedicated MR imaging of the sella for both the baseline scans and the scans included in this study.

Thirty-four/67 (50.74%) patients were stable in their craniocaudal dimension: 16/67 (23.88%) increased and 17/67 (25.37%) decreased at almost-perfect agreement between the T2WI and T1C with a κ of 0.9 ($P < .001$). Analysis of the interval change revealed no significant difference in the craniocaudal dimensions between the T2WI and T1C ($P = .85$) and also excellent agreement between T2WI and T1C (ICC = 0.98, $P < .001$; 95% CI, 0.96–0.98).

By means of T2WI, the average growth or regression of the craniocaudal dimension from baseline to the follow-up scan was 3.8 (SD, 2.1) mm (range, 2–8 mm) and 6 (SD, 4.3) mm (range, 2–14 mm), respectively.

Analysis of the Craniocaudal Dimension

The mean craniocaudal dimension of macroadenomas on T2WI was 23.13 (SD, 10.73) mm (range, 9–55 mm) compared with 23.14 (SD, 10.79) mm (range, 8–55 mm) on the T1C ($P = .99$) (ICC = 0.96; $P < .001$; 95% CI, 0.84–0.99) (Table 2).

Analysis of the Cavernous Sinus Invasion

There were 16 patients classified as Knosp zero, 28 as Knosp one, 33 as Knosp two, 15 as Knosp 3, and 13 as Knosp 4, with almost-perfect agreement between the T2WI and T1C with $\kappa = 0.95$ ($P < .001$).

Analysis of the Optic Pathway Compression

The macroadenoma showed no contact with the optic pathway in 32 (30.47%) patients, while there was abutment of the optic pathway without displacement in 18 (17.14%) and mass effect and displacement in 55 (52.38%) patients (Fig 3). There was almost perfect agreement between the T2WI and T1C regarding the optic pathway compression with $\kappa = 0.84$ ($P < .001$).

Subgroup Analysis of Macroadenoma with Internal Heterogeneity

A total of 47 (44.76%) of 105 patients demonstrated a heterogeneous appearance on the T2WI sequence. Subgroup analysis of the heterogeneous macroadenomas revealed no significant difference in the craniocaudal dimensions ($P = .98$), but it did have excellent agreement between the sequences, (ICC = 0.99, $P < .001$; 95% CI 0.998–0.999). Analysis of the heterogeneous macroadenomas revealed less but still almost-perfect agreement between T2WI and T1C for cavernous sinus invasion with $\kappa = 0.94$ ($P < .001$) and substantial agreement for optic pathway compression with $\kappa = 0.74$ ($P < .001$).

Interrater Reliability

There were 31 patients randomly chosen and reviewed independently by both reader 1 and reader 2 for the craniocaudal dimensions, cavernous sinus invasions, and optic pathway compression using coronal T2WI. Analysis of this subset revealed excellent interrater reliability between the 2 readers for craniocaudal dimensions (ICC = 0.95, $P = .001$; 95% CI, 0.61–0.99) and substantial agreement for cavernous sinus invasion with $\kappa = 0.76$ ($P < .001$). There was also substantial agreement for the optic pathway compression with $\kappa = 0.77$ ($P < .001$).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that coronal T2WI and T1C perform similarly for assessment of craniocaudal length, cavernous sinus invasion, and optic pathway compression in patients with unoperated pituitary macroadenomas. MR imaging follow-up in this group of patients without the use of GBCA can provide safety improvement for patients and operational cost-savings.

Table 2: Summary statistics comparing the craniocaudal dimension of pituitary macroadenoma, cavernous sinus invasion, and optic pathway compression on T2WI versus TIC

	Coronal T2WI	Coronal TIC	Agreement ICC/ κ
Craniocaudal dimension			
Mean	23.1 (SD, 10.7) mm	23.1 (SD, 10.8) mm	ICC = 0.96
Range	9–55 mm	8–55 mm	
Knosp Classification (No.) (%)			
0	16 (15.2)	16 (15.2)	κ = 0.95
1	28 (26.7)	27 (25.7)	
2	33 (31.4)	33 (31.4)	
3	15 (14.3)	16 (15.2)	
4	13 (12.4)	13 (12.4)	
Optic pathway compression (No.) (%)			
No contact	32 (30.5)	34 (32.4)	κ = 0.84
Abutment without displacement	18 (17.1)	20 (19)	
Compression with displacement	55 (52.4)	51 (48.6)	




FIG 3. Coronal T2WI versus TIC show the optic pathway (depicted by yellow arrows) compression classification. A, There is no contact. B, The pituitary macroadenoma is abutting the left aspect of the optic chiasm without displacement. C, There are mass effect and displacement of the optic pathway.

Surgery is the standard of care for pituitary macroadenomas, particularly if symptomatic and large. However, up to 30% of pituitary macroadenomas are managed conservatively instead of with surgery for several reasons.¹⁴ Some patients are not surgical candidates due to comorbidities. The benefits of upfront surgery for nonfunctioning asymptomatic pituitary macroadenoma or prolactin-secreting macroadenoma without optic pathway compression for patients undergoing medical treatment remains questionable.¹⁵ Local practice patterns may also affect the decision to pursue upfront surgery rather than imaging follow-up in patients with pituitary macroadenoma. In addition, up to 24% of macroadenomas demonstrate evidence of growth with time if untreated.¹⁶

Therefore, there is a subgroup of patients with pituitary macroadenoma that do not undergo upfront surgery but need serial imaging follow-up annually or, in those patients in whom there is a high demonstrated growth rate, even more frequently.^{17,18}

On the basis of our results, administration of GBCA has no added value to the follow-up MR imaging examinations for unoperated pituitary macroadenomas to assess tumor size. We assessed cavernous sinus invasion because it affects the surgical approach, risks, and outcomes.¹⁹ Cavernous sinus invasion is associated with increased risk of residual disease and the need for future interventions²⁰ following surgery. This knowledge is necessary for risk-benefit assessment in patients with macroadenoma who are on conservative imaging surveillance. Visual pathway compression is also significant because the presence of visual impairment is a potential indication for surgery,²¹ affecting surgical outcomes.^{22,23} In addition, our results demonstrated no added value of performing coronal TIC compared with coronal T2WI in the assessment of heterogeneous-versus-non-heterogeneous macroadenomas.

Multiple prior studies have also demonstrated a lack of added clinical value of using GBCA for routine follow-up scans of benign intracranial tumors such as meningiomas²⁴ and vestibular schwannomas.²⁵ The contrast-enhanced scans are associated with increased cost, including the GBCAs themselves, longer scan times, and additional support staff for IV access insertion and removal. They are also associated with more patient discomfort and the potential for adverse reactions to GBCA. In addition, repeat GBCA administrations lead to permanent deposition of gadolinium in the

brain, and, in particular, in the dentate nucleus and globus pallidus.^{6–10} Although the current study was designed to compare T2WI and TIC, in practice the non-contrast-enhanced MR imaging of the sella includes T1-weighted images, which provide more information with regard to internal hemorrhage and the presence of fluid-fluid levels, a posterior pituitary bright spot, and bone marrow in the clivus compared with T2WI alone (Fig 4).

Limitations

The retrospective single-center nature of this study and limited sample size are sources of bias. Volumetric assessment of pituitary macroadenoma is the most accurate measure of its size. However,




FIG 4. MR imaging of the sella shows internal hemorrhage with the hematocrit level on different sequences. Sag indicates sagittal; pre gad, pregadolinium; post gad, postgadolinium.

this is not applicable to daily clinical practice, and we, therefore, assessed the craniocaudal dimension due to its importance to optic pathway compression. Last, because local practice patterns can affect whether a patient with macroadenoma will undergo upfront surgery, different practices may have different numbers of patients to whom the results of this study could be applicable.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study suggests that non-contrast-enhanced MR imaging of the sella could potentially be considered for the follow-up scans of unoperated pituitary macroadenomas. The results need to be validated in larger, multicenter studies.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text and PDF of this article at www.ajnr.org.

REFERENCES

- Ezzat S, Asa SL, Couldwell WT, et al. **The prevalence of pituitary adenomas: a systematic review.** *Cancer* 2004;101:613–19 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Aljabri KS, Bokhari SA, Assiri FY, et al. **The epidemiology of pituitary adenomas in a community-based hospital: a retrospective single center study in Saudi Arabia.** *Ann Saudi Med* 2016;36:341–45 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Hussein SH, Wahedi TS, Johani NA, et al. **Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of pituitary tumours in a single centre in Saudi Arabia.** *Hormones (Athens)* 2018;17:261–67 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Committee on Drugs and Contrast Media. **ACR Manual on Contrast Media.** 2021: American College of Radiology. 2021. https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Clinical-Resources/Contrast_Media.pdf.
- Granata V, Cascella M, Fusco R, et al. **Immediate adverse reactions to gadolinium-based MR contrast media: a retrospective analysis on 10,608 examinations.** *Biomed Res Int* 2016;2016:3918292 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Stojanov D, Aracki-Trenkic A, Benedeto-Stojanov D. **Gadolinium deposition within the dentate nucleus and globus pallidus after repeated administrations of gadolinium-based contrast agents: current status.** *Neuroradiology* 2016;58:433–41 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Kanda T, Ishii K, Kawaguchi H, et al. **High signal intensity in the dentate nucleus and globus pallidus on unenhanced T1-weighted MR images: relationship with increasing cumulative dose of a gadolinium-based contrast material.** *Radiology* 2014;270:834–41 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Kanda T, Osawa M, Oba H, et al. **High signal intensity in dentate nucleus on unenhanced T1-weighted MR images: association with linear versus macrocyclic gadolinium chelate administration.** *Radiology* 2015;275:803–09 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Quattrocchi CC, Mallio CA, Errante Y, et al. **Gadodiamide and dentate nucleus T1 hyperintensity in patients with meningioma evaluated by multiple follow-up contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance examinations with no systemic interval therapy.** *Invest Radiol* 2015;50:470–72 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Gulani V, Calamante F, Shellock FG, et al; International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. **Gadolinium deposition in the brain: summary of evidence and recommendations.** *Lancet Neurol* 2017;16:564–70 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Knosp E, Steiner E, Kitz K, et al. **Pituitary adenomas with invasion of the cavernous sinus space: a magnetic resonance imaging classification compared with surgical findings.** *Neurosurgery* 1993;33:610–17; discussion 617–18 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Koo TK, Li MY. **A guideline of selecting and reporting intraclass correlation coefficients for reliability research.** *J Chiropr Med* 2016;15:155–63 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Landis JR, Koch GG. **The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data.** *Biometrics* 1977;33:159–74 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Levy MJ, Robertson JJ, Khalk N, et al. **Long-term follow-up of a large prospective cohort of patients with nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas: the outcome of a conservative management policy.** *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2018;89:354–59 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Ogiwara T, Nagm A, Nakamura T, et al. **Significance and indications of surgery for asymptomatic nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas.** *World Neurosurg* 2019;128:e752–59 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Molitch ME. **Pituitary tumours: pituitary incidentalomas.** *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2009;23:667–75 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Kim JH, Dho YS, Kim YH, et al. **Developing an optimal follow-up strategy based on the natural history of nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas.** *J Neurosurg* 2019;131:500–06 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Dekkers OM, Pereira AM, Romijn JA. **Treatment and follow-up of clinically nonfunctioning pituitary macroadenomas.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2008;93:3717–26 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Ajlan A, Achrol AS, Albakr A, et al. **Cavernous sinus involvement by pituitary adenomas: clinical implications and outcomes of endoscopic endonasal resection.** *J Neurol Surg B Skull Base* 2017;78:273–82 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Woodworth GF, Patel KS, Shin B, et al. **Surgical outcomes using a medial-to-lateral endonasal endoscopic approach to pituitary adenomas invading the cavernous sinus.** *J Neurosurg* 2014;120:1086–94 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Fahlbusch R, Honegger J, Buchfelder M. **Surgical management of acromegaly.** *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* 1992;21:669–92 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Powell M. **Recovery of vision following transsphenoidal surgery for pituitary adenomas.** *Br J Neurosurg* 1995;9:367–73 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Hisanaga S, Kakeda S, Yamamoto J, et al. **Pituitary macroadenoma and visual impairment: postoperative outcome prediction with contrast-enhanced FIESTA.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2017;38:2067–72 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Boto J, Guatta R, Fitsiori A, et al. **Is contrast medium really needed for follow-up MRI of untreated intracranial meningiomas?** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2021;42:1421–28 [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Buch K, Juliano A, Stankovic KM, et al. **Noncontrast vestibular schwannoma surveillance imaging including an MR cisternographic sequence: is there a need for postcontrast imaging?** *J Neurosurg* 2018;131:549–54 [CrossRef Medline](#)