



Get Clarity On Generics

Cost-Effective CT & MRI Contrast Agents

**FRESENIUS
KABI**

WATCH VIDEO

AJNR

**Functional and Structural MR Imaging in
Neuropsychiatric Disorders, Part 1: Imaging
Techniques and Their Application in Mild
Cognitive Impairment and Alzheimer Disease**

S. Mueller, D. Keeser, M.F. Reiser, S. Teipel and T. Meindl

This information is current as
of August 15, 2025.

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2012, 33 (10) 1845-1850

doi: <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A2799>

<http://www.ajnr.org/content/33/10/1845>

REVIEW ARTICLE

S. Mueller
D. Keeser
M.F. Reiser
S. Teipel
T. Meindl



Functional and Structural MR Imaging in Neuropsychiatric Disorders, Part 1: Imaging Techniques and Their Application in Mild Cognitive Impairment and Alzheimer Disease

SUMMARY: During the past decade, the application of advanced MR imaging techniques in neuropsychiatric disorders has seen a rapid increase. Disease-specific alterations in brain function can be assessed by fMRI. Structural GM and WM properties are increasingly investigated by DTI and voxel-based approaches like VBM. These methods provide neurobiologic correlates for brain architecture and function, evaluation tools for therapeutic approaches, and potential early markers for diagnosis. The aim of this review was to provide insight into the principles of functional and structural imaging and to delineate major findings in MCI, AD (Part 1), autism, and schizophrenia (Part 2), which are common psychiatric disorders covering different stages of the life span. Part 2 will conclude by summarizing current applications, limitations, and future prospects in the field of MR imaging-based neuroimaging.

ABBREVIATIONS ACC = anterior cingulate cortex; AD = Alzheimer disease; ASD = autism spectrum disorders; BOLD = blood oxygen level-dependent; DMN = default mode network; EEG = electroencephalography; FA = fractional anisotropy; GM = gray matter; ICA = independent component analysis; MCI = mild cognitive impairment; MD = mean diffusivity; PCC = posterior cingulate cortex; rsfMRI = resting-state fMRI; VBM = voxel-based morphometry

The past years have seen significant advances in the areas of functional and structural neuroimaging, particularly in their application to the field of neuropsychiatric disorders.

MR imaging-based methods such as fMRI, DTI, and VBM provide neurobiologic correlates of neuropsychiatric disorders in vivo and potential early markers of disease for improved diagnosis. However, we still need to tune the available neuroimaging markers to provide an individually applicable marker of disease to predict the risk of an asymptomatic or oligosymptomatic subject developing a specific neuropsychiatric disease. This is most relevant for the design and conduction of future primary or secondary prevention trials of neurodegenerative disorders such as AD or genetically determined disorders, the course of which can be dramatically improved through early intervention, such as schizophrenia or ASD. To date the clinical application of MR imaging in neuropsychiatric diseases is mostly limited to the exclusion of macroscopic abnormalities. In this review, we discuss the methodologic basis and the scope and limitations of functional and structural MR imaging techniques and summarize major findings gained by applying these techniques to AD, schizophrenia, and ASD.

Brain Function as Assessed by fMRI

Classic task-dependent fMRI paradigms use the change in BOLD signal intensity evoked by the performance of a specific task in the scanner. The increase of BOLD signal intensity is an indirect measure of activation of a distinct brain region.¹ rs-fMRI is a novel approach in which the patient does not perform a task but lies quietly in the scanner. It allows the detection of functional resting-state connectivity of brain networks. Functional connectivity has been defined as “the temporal correlation of a neurophysiologic index measured in different brain areas.”² In the context of rsfMRI, the neurophysiologic index is the BOLD signal intensity, which is known to display low-frequency spontaneous fluctuations in the resting brain (0.01–0.1 Hz)³ that can be distinguished from respiratory (0.1–0.5 Hz) and cardiovascular (0.6–1.2 Hz) signal-intensity frequencies.⁴ These signal-intensity fluctuations are spatially independent and show temporal correlation across largely separated cortical areas. These areas form functionally plausible networks that are crucial for perceptual, such as visual or auditory, processing⁵ or sensory-motor processing.^{5,6} Other resting-state networks include brain regions that are known to be involved in cognitive performance such as self-referential processing^{5,7} or attention.^{5,8}

Attributing a specific function is based on inference from external evidence because by definition, the subject is not performing a specific task. This attribution is relatively obvious for some networks (eg, auditory and visual) but less straightforward for higher order cognitive networks. Such attributions often rely on patterns of task-induced activation revealed by classic task-dependent fMRI. The reliability of resting-state connectivity has been demonstrated^{9–11} and might be further improved by mathematic modeling such as the dual-regression approach.¹²

The DMN is bridging the gap between task-induced and

From the Institute of Clinical Radiology (S.M., D.K., M.F.R., T.M.) and Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy (D.K.), Ludwigs-Maximilian University Munich, Munich Germany; and Department of Psychiatry (S.T.), University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany.

Please address correspondence to Sophia Mueller, MD, Institute of Clinical Radiology, University Hospitals Munich, Marchioninistr 15, 81377 Munich; e-mail: sophia.mueller.med@uni-muenchen.de



Indicates open access to non-subscribers at www.ajnr.org

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A2799>

task-independent findings because it has been described as both a cluster of brain regions that are deactivated during the performance of other tasks and a network extractable from resting-state BOLD fluctuations. The localization of its components has furthermore been confirmed by PET,¹³ EEG,^{5,14} and magnetoencephalography.¹⁵ In simultaneous EEG-fMRI studies, slow EEG patterns were found to correlate negatively with functional connectivity in the DMN during the resting state.^{16,17} Moreover, structural data suggest that the DMN is predefined in the structural connectivity of its main components.^{18,19} Parietal and temporal components of the network are known to be involved in working memory and to serve as a storage for semantic knowledge.²⁰ The PCC is engaged in the retrieval and modification of past experiences.²¹ The PCC seems to play a central role within the DMN²²⁻²⁵ and the attention network.²⁶ Its activity was furthermore shown to be influenced by the process of physiologic aging.²⁷ The ACC is active during affective and emotional processing²⁸ and autobiographic memory retrieval²⁹ to mention 2 major of many domains. In total, the DMN is a tonically active network involved in the perception, integration, and interpretation of internal and external stimuli³⁰ and the application of past experiences for problem-solving and future plans.²¹

There are 2 most commonly used approaches to study resting-state connectivity. The first approach, ICA, allows data-driven whole-brain analysis of BOLD fluctuations and does, therefore, not depend on a priori hypotheses. As a tool of blind source separation, it can extract networks from the resting-state signal intensity on the basis of their spatial independence and similar BOLD time courses.^{31,32} The second approach uses predefined regions of interest as seed regions and determines functional connectivity by calculating regions composed of voxels displaying a significantly correlating BOLD signal intensity.⁶

Functional MR imaging has been widely used to discover between-group differences. The application of fMRI to detect early signs of disease in individual subjects has not yet been widely established. There are only a few examples of the clinical application on an individual patient level. The main clinical application has been in planning of epilepsy surgery. While invasive cortical mapping with subdural grids remains the criterion standard, task-dependent fMRI has been offered as a noninvasive means of functional mapping of distinct areas active during a particular task.³³ Recent studies support the notion that resting-state functional connectivity MR imaging may provide an effective tool for presurgical mapping of eloquent cortex (eg, the motor cortex) and further brain systems³⁴ as well as epileptogenic areas³⁵ in a single scanning session.

The general paucity of clinical fMRI applications can be attributed to several limitations. One of the most important limitations is the poor signal intensity-to-noise ratio and the pronounced susceptibility to motion artifacts. A further important limitation, especially in neuropsychiatric disorders with prominent cognitive features such as dementia, schizophrenia, or autism, has been the dependence on language comprehension, concentration, and compliance for the performance of cognitive tasks within the scanner. Here, rsfMRI offers an intriguing novel approach that reduces the load of task fMRI on patients and their compliance.

Brain Structure as Assessed by DTI, Volumetry, and Morphometry

DTI

DTI is based on the measurement of diffusion properties of water molecules.³⁶ It relies on the principle that diffusion of water molecules occurs unrestricted along all directions in CSF (isotropic), whereas in the WM, it is restricted axially and increases parallel to fiber bundles, leading to anisotropic diffusion. FA can, therefore, serve as an indirect measure reflecting the underlying structural integrity of fiber tracts.³⁷ Greater FA values are believed to represent greater WM integrity.³⁸ Lower FA values occur due to diminished myelination, reduced amount of axons, decreased axonal diameter, and altered axonal interspaces in fiber tracts or bundles.³⁹ In this review, we focus on DTI studies by using FA as an outcome marker. This is based on the premise that FA values currently represent the most commonly reported structural connectivity scale, compared with others such as MD or relative anisotropy.

The investigation of group differences in FA values can be performed by using a whole-brain voxel-based approach such as tract-based spatial statistics.⁴⁰ By contrast, DTI tractography analyzes distinct preselected and segmented fiber tracts, allowing simultaneous quantification of volume and microstructural integrity.⁴¹

Volumetry and Morphometry

Assessment of brain anatomy includes volumetric and morphometric measurements. Brain structures such as the hippocampus can be evaluated by visual estimation and manual or automated volumetry. Regional GM changes can be assessed by cortical thickness measurement or morphometry. Morphometry is performed on the basis of local deformations⁴² or voxelwise GM attenuation (VBM). VBM is an adaptation of the statistical parametric mapping technique, which permits investigators to quantitatively examine whole-brain structural changes in a variety of conditions. It relies on the assumption that the recorded MR imaging T1-weighted voxel contains a mixture of distinct tissue types. These tissue types include GM, WM, and CSF. VBM allows a voxelwise comparison of regional GM and WM concentrations of spatially normalized images. Voxelwise parametric statistics can be performed on smoothed GM segments between groups. Recently, a new study even found GM changes after hours of learning new color names,⁴³ indicating that VBM provides a possible basis for behavioral studies on the structural MR imaging domain. For an overview of MR imaging techniques used in neuroimaging, see Fig 1.

AD and MCI

AD is a progressive neurodegenerative disease, accounting for $\leq 70\%$ of all cases of dementia.⁴⁴ It is characterized by impaired memory and decline of multiple other cognitive functions. In 2001, the estimated number of affected people worldwide was 24.3 million and was predicted to rise >40 million by 2020.⁴⁵ MCI is defined as a mild-but-definite decline from the previous cognitive performance level, confirmed by a reliable observer, and clinically quantified by neuropsychological testing.⁴⁶ The annual conversion rate from MCI to AD ranges




Fig 1. MR imaging—based modalities in neuroimaging research discussed in this review. In rsfMRI, the DMN of healthy subjects is shown. In DTI, the skeleton (green) is overlaid on the average FA image of healthy subjects. In VBM of healthy subjects, GM (blue-light blue), WM (white), and CSF (pink) can be extracted from T1-weighted structural data. All images are schematic representations of our own data, processed with Functional MR Imaging of the Brain Software Library, Version 1.7 (<http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk>).

between 8% and 16% in the literature.^{47,48} In this review, we will address the issues of detecting differences between MCI and AD and predicting future dementia in individuals with MCI.

Brain Structure

Medial temporal lobe volume loss, pronounced in the hippocampus and the entorhinal cortex, is the characteristic finding in structural MR imaging scans in patients with clinically apparent AD.⁴⁹ Manual volumetry of the hippocampus discriminates AD and healthy control groups with high accuracy⁵⁰ and displays a multicenter variability of <5%.^{51,52} It is, therefore, considered the best established imaging biomarker of AD to date.⁵³ Hippocampal volumes and episodic memory performance have been shown to be correlated in AD.⁵⁴ Structural studies by using whole-brain MR imaging volumetric approaches have furthermore demonstrated volume reduction, presumably as a sign of early atrophy, in the cingulate and precuneus regions and in the parietal cortex in patients with early AD.^{55,56} GM loss has been shown to be progressive throughout the clinically defined stages of AD.⁵⁶

In MCI, decreased size of the hippocampal formation could be found in region-of-interest⁵⁷ and voxel-based volumetric structural studies.^{58,59} The temporal lobe, as a whole, shows higher atrophy rates in MCI than in healthy controls.⁶⁰ GM volume loss has also been sporadically described in frontal⁶¹ and parietal⁵⁵ regions.

DTI studies in AD have yielded heterogeneous findings that, in summary, point to a loss of WM integrity, most likely secondary to cortical abnormalities in AD. A recent meta-analysis of 41 DTI studies in AD and MCI reported decreased FA values in AD in all regions except the parietal WM and internal capsule.⁶² Increased FA values were, for example, reported within fiber tracts of the temporal stem,⁶³ splenium of the corpus callosum,⁶⁴ cingulate bundle,⁶⁵ and deep WM of the frontal, parietal, and temporal lobes.^{66,67} Sydykova et al⁶⁸ could show that decreasing regional FA in callosal WM correlates with decreasing GM volume of association cortex areas. This led to the assumption that altered FA values reflect underlying degeneration of cortical neurons that rely on long-range projection fibers.

Diffusion tensor studies in MCI have, in general, demonstrated similar, sometimes less pronounced, patterns of de-

creased FA values in all WM regions except parietal and occipital regions,⁶² for example, in the cingulum bundle.⁶⁵ In a follow-up study, Teipel et al⁶⁹ could show that subjects with MCI had significant FA decline predominantly in the anterior corpus callosum, though DTI results on alteration of the corpus callosum have generally been nonuniform.⁶⁵ The diagnostic use of DTI in AD and MCI has received little attention so far. In manifest AD, DTI-derived measures of FA and MD were not superior to more simple volume-based analysis of cortical GM volumes.⁷⁰ However, in the MCI stage of disease, the hippocampus diffusion measurement was more accurate in separating patients from controls compared with hippocampus volume.⁷¹ These studies, however, need confirmation in larger samples. Evidence on multicenter stability of DTI measures for future application in large-scale studies is still limited. A recent study suggests that across a wide range of field strengths and acquisition parameters, variability of DTI-based FA measures is at least 50% higher than the variability of volumetric measures derived from structural MR imaging data.⁷²

Brain Function

Most functional studies have enrolled patients with mild-to-moderate stages of AD because these subjects are more likely to be able to comply with the investigation, requiring minimal movement and high adherence to the task paradigm. Task-related fMRI studies in AD have mainly focused on encoding and memory paradigms. They revealed decreased activation of the parahippocampal and hippocampal regions in patients with AD compared with healthy controls^{73,74} and could establish association between memory-task performance and activation of the left superior temporal gyrus and the left prefrontal region.⁷⁵ Besides decreased task-induced activation, patients with AD showed mainly prefrontal activation patterns not seen during task conditions in healthy comparison subjects,^{76,77} which can be interpreted as a compensatory activation of brain regions to preserve task performance in the presence of impaired task-related neuronal networks. In addition to decreased and increased task-induced activation, reduced task-induced deactivation of brain regions belonging to the DMN⁷⁶ is a third principle of altered brain function in AD. Reduced deactivation in AD is especially pronounced in the medial parietal/posterior cingulate region⁷⁸ and the ACC.⁷⁹ Greicius et al⁸⁰ could show that these networks also display strong connectivity with the hippocampus and that the connectivity between the PCC and hippocampus is decreased in AD.

The DMN cannot only be assessed as a pattern of task-induced deactivation but can also be derived from the intrinsic resting-state BOLD signal intensity of the brain by means of resting-state connectivity analyses. When we applied 2 main approaches, VOI-based correlation analyses and whole-brain-based ICA to resting-state data of healthy controls, subjects with MCI, and patients with AD we found that time-course correlation analyses seem to outperform independent-component analyses in the identification of patients with AD (Fig 2).⁸¹ A study by Wang et al⁸² choosing the bilateral hippocampus as a VOI found disrupted connectivity predominantly between the right hippocampus and the main components of the DMN in AD.⁸²




Fig 2. DMN connectivity of the ACC/medial prefrontal cortex and the PCC in healthy elderly subjects, those with MCI, and those with AD. A recent study by Koch et al⁸¹ demonstrated high classification accuracy by using multivariate analysis approaches.

fMRI studies in MCI have revealed altered cerebral activation in subjects with MCI during visual-processing⁸³ and working-memory tasks.⁸⁴ In subjects with MCI, medial temporal activation during memory tasks was shown to be increased, in contrast to reduced activation consistently observed in mild AD.⁸⁴ However, according to Celone et al,⁷⁶ this seemingly paradoxical hyperactivation of the hippocampus during memory tasks predominantly occurs in early stages of MCI, whereas more impaired MCI subjects revealed significantly decreased activation levels, similar to those in patients with AD. This increased medial temporal activation may be characteristic of a compensatory phase in subjects with early MCI, preceding the phase of decreased activation in the same regions with the transition from MCI to mild AD.⁸⁵

Task-dependent functional connectivity among the medial temporal lobe, the PCC, and the parietal lobe has also been shown to be decreased in MCI, suggesting a disruption of connections between these memory and DMN regions by the characteristic early accumulation of AD-type neuropathology in these areas.⁸⁶

Task-independent functional connectivity, as assessed by resting-state MR imaging, has likewise revealed reduced network connectivity between selected areas of the DMN and the executive attention network. In a study by Sorg et al.,⁸⁷ functional connectivity between both hippocampi and the PCC of the DMN was present in controls but was not detectable in subjects with MCI. This functional-connectivity alteration was shown to be accompanied by decreased medial temporal lobe volumes as assessed by VBM.⁸⁷

Conversion from MCI to AD

Structural and functional imaging may allow prediction of future conversion from MCI to AD. Hippocampal volume predicts conversion from MCI to AD with 70%–80% accuracy.⁸⁸ Whole-brain longitudinal atrophy rates per se have been shown to predict conversion.^{89,90} More specifically, whole-brain VBM analysis reveals higher atrophy rates in converters in the hippocampal area, the inferior and middle temporal gyri, the posterior cingulate, and the precuneus.⁹¹ In a deformation-based morphometry study, Teipel et al⁴² detected a principal-component-analysis–derived pattern of regional brain atrophy, including the medial temporal lobes, neocortical association areas, thalamus, and basal ganglia, and consecutive CSF widening that allows discrimination between MCI converters and nonconverters with 80% accuracy based on CSF maps and 73% accuracy based on brain-atrophy maps.

DTI-based detection of higher baseline hippocampal MD has been shown to be associated with a greater risk of progression and may help identify patients with MCI who will progress to AD as well as or better than structural MR imaging measures of hippocampal atrophy.^{92,93}

Increased fMRI hippocampal activation⁹⁴ and decreased deactivation of posterior midline structures⁹⁵ have also been found predictive of conversion. Lately, functional connectivity of the DMN has been shown to distinguish patients with MCI who undergo cognitive decline and conversion to AD from those who remain stable during the follow-up period.⁹⁶

Conclusions

MR imaging–based neuroimaging can provide disease-specific patterns of functional and structural alterations in MCI and AD, some of which are predictors of conversion. However, most of these methods have just begun to be applied to predict disease on a single-subject level. Limitations, future prospects, and possible clinical scopes of the delineated MR imaging methods will be discussed in Part 2 of this review.

Disclosures: Stefan Teipel—UNRELATED: Grants/Grants Pending: Janssen-Cilag, Comments: grant provided from 2005 to 2009.

References

- Ogawa S, Tank DW, Menon R, et al. Intrinsic signal changes accompanying sensory stimulation: functional brain mapping with magnetic resonance imaging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1992;89:5951–55
- Friston KJ, Frith CD, Liddle PF, et al. Functional connectivity: the principal-component analysis of large (PET) data sets. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 1993;13:5–14
- Fox MD, Snyder AZ, Vincent JL, et al. The human brain is intrinsically organized into dynamic, anticorrelated functional networks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005;102:9673–78. Epub 2005 Jun 23
- van de Ven VG, Formisano E, Prvulovic D, et al. Functional connectivity as revealed by spatial independent component analysis of fMRI measurements during rest. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2004;22:165–78
- Mantini D, Perrucci MG, Del Gratta C, et al. Electrophysiological signatures of resting state networks in the human brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104:13170–75
- Biswal BY, Haughton VM, Hyde JS. Functional connectivity in the motor cortex of resting human brain using echo-planar MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 1995;34:537–41
- D'Argembeau A, Collette F, Van der Linden M, et al. Self-referential reflective activity and its relationship with rest: a PET study. *Neuroimage* 2005;25:616–24
- Corbetta M, Shulman GL. Control of goal-directed and stimulus-driven attention in the brain. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2002;3:201–15
- Damoiseaux JS, Rombouts SA, Barkhof F, et al. Consistent resting-state networks across healthy subjects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006;103:13848–53
- Meindl T, Teipel S, Elmouden R, et al. Test-retest reproducibility of the default-mode network in healthy individuals. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2010;31:237–46
- Shehzad Z, Kelly AM, Reiss PT, et al. The resting brain: unconstrained yet reliable. *Cereb Cortex* 2009;19:2209–29
- Zuo XN, Kelly C, Adelstein JS, et al. Reliable intrinsic connectivity networks: test-retest evaluation using ICA and dual regression approach. *Neuroimage* 2010;49:2163–77
- Shulman GL, Fiez JA, Corbetta M, et al. Common blood flow changes across visual tasks. II. Decreases in cerebral cortex. *J Cogn Neurosci* 1997;9:648–63
- Chen AC, Feng W, Zhao H, et al. EEG default mode network in the human brain: spectral regional field powers. *Neuroimage* 2008;41:561–74
- de Pasquale F, Della Penna S, Snyder AZ, et al. Temporal dynamics of spontaneous MEG activity in brain networks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010;107:6040–45
- Scheeringa R, Bastiaansen MC, Petersson KM, et al. Frontal theta EEG activity correlates negatively with the default mode network in resting state. *Int J Psychophysiol* 2008;67:242–51
- Hlinka J, Alexakis C, Diukova A, et al. Slow EEG pattern predicts reduced intrinsic functional connectivity in the default mode network: an inter-subject analysis. *Neuroimage* 2010;53:239–46
- Greicius MD, Supekar K, Menon V, et al. Resting-state functional connectivity

- reflects structural connectivity in the default mode network. *Cereb Cortex* 2009;19:72–78. Epub 2008 Apr 9
19. Teipel SJ, Bokde AL, Meindl T, et al. White matter microstructure underlying default mode network connectivity in the human brain. *Neuroimage* 2010;49:2021–32
 20. Warburton E, Wise RJS, Price CJ, et al. Noun and verb retrieval by normal subjects. Studies with PET. *Brain* 1996;119:159–79
 21. Greicius MD, Krasnow B, Reiss AL, et al. Functional connectivity in the resting brain: a network analysis of the default mode hypothesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003;100:253–58
 22. Buckner RL, Sepulcre J, Talukdar T, et al. Cortical hubs revealed by intrinsic functional connectivity: mapping, assessment of stability, and relation to Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurosci* 2009;29:1860–73
 23. Fransson P, Marrelec G. The precuneus/posterior cingulate cortex plays a pivotal role in the default mode network: evidence from a partial correlation network analysis. *Neuroimage* 2008;42:1178–84
 24. Hagmann P, Cammoun L, Gigandet X, et al. Mapping the structural core of human cerebral cortex. *PLoS Biol* 2008;6:e159
 25. Parvizi J, Van Hoesen GW, Buckwalter J, et al. Neural connections of the posteromedial cortex in the macaque. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006;103:1563–68
 26. Leech R, Kamourieh S, Beckmann CF, et al. Fractionating the default mode network: distinct contributions of the ventral and dorsal posterior cingulate cortex to cognitive control. *J Neurosci* 2011;31:3217–24
 27. Koch W, Teipel S, Mueller S, et al. Effects of aging on default mode network activity in resting state fMRI: does the method of analysis matter? *Neuroimage* 2010;51:280–87
 28. Damasio AR, Grabowski TJ, Bechara A, et al. Subcortical and cortical brain activity during the feeling of self-generated emotions. *Nat Neurosci* 2000;3:1049–56
 29. Cabeza R, Daselaar SM, Dolcos F, et al. Task-independent and task-specific age effects on brain activity during working memory, visual attention and episodic retrieval. *Cereb Cortex* 2004;14:364–75
 30. Raichle ME, Snyder AZ. A default mode of brain function: a brief history of an evolving idea. *Neuroimage* 2007;37:1083–90, discussion 1097–99
 31. Calhoun VD, Adali T, Pearlson GD, et al. A method for making group inferences from functional MRI data using independent component analysis. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2001;14:140–51
 32. McKeown MJ, Jung TP, Makeig S, et al. Spatially independent activity patterns in functional MRI data during the Stroop color-naming task. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998;95:803–10
 33. Hirsch J, Ruge MI, Kim KH, et al. An integrated functional magnetic resonance imaging procedure for preoperative mapping of cortical areas associated with tactile, motor, language, and visual functions. *Neurosurgery* 2000;47:711–21, discussion 721–22
 34. Liu H, Buckner RL, Talukdar T, et al. Task-free presurgical mapping using functional magnetic resonance imaging intrinsic activity. *J Neurosurg* 2009;111:746–54
 35. Stufflebeam SM, Liu H, Sepulcre J, et al. Localization of focal epileptic discharges using functional connectivity magnetic resonance imaging. *J Neurosurg* 2011;114:1693–97. Epub 2011 Feb 25
 36. Assaf Y, Pasternak O. Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI)-based white matter mapping in brain research: a review. *J Mol Neurosci* 2008;34:51–61
 37. Pierpaoli C, Jezzard P, Basser PJ, et al. Diffusion tensor MR imaging of the human brain. *Radiology* 1996;201:637–48
 38. Kubicki M, McCarley R, Westin CF, et al. A review of diffusion tensor imaging studies in schizophrenia. *J Psychiatr Res* 2007;41:15–30
 39. Beaulieu C. The basis of anisotropic water diffusion in the nervous system: a technical review. *NMR Biomed* 2002;15:435–55
 40. Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Johansen-Berg H, et al. Tract-based spatial statistics: voxelwise analysis of multi-subject diffusion data. *Neuroimage* 2006;31:1487–505
 41. Le Bihan D. Looking into the functional architecture of the brain with diffusion MRI. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2003;4:469–80
 42. Teipel SJ, Born C, Ewers M, et al. Multivariate deformation-based analysis of brain atrophy to predict Alzheimer's disease in mild cognitive impairment. *Neuroimage* 2007;38:13–24
 43. Kwok V, Niu Z, Kay P, et al. Learning new color names produces rapid increase in gray matter in the intact adult human cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2011;108:6686–88
 44. Kukull WA, Bowen JD. Dementia epidemiology. *Med Clin North Am* 2002;86:573–90
 45. Ferri CP, Prince M, Brayne C, et al. Global prevalence of dementia: a Delphi consensus study. *Lancet* 2005;366:2112–17
 46. Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *J Intern Med* 2004;256:183–94
 47. Petersen RC, Smith GE, Waring SC, et al. Mild cognitive impairment: clinical characterization and outcome. *Arch Neurol* 1999;56:303–08
 48. Busse A, Hensel A, Guhne U, et al. Mild cognitive impairment: long-term course of four clinical subtypes. *Neurology* 2006;67:2176–85
 49. Appel J, Potter E, Shen Q, et al. A comparative analysis of structural brain MRI in the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Behav Neurol* 2009;21:13–19
 50. Jack CR Jr, Petersen RC, Xu YC, et al. Medial temporal atrophy on MRI in normal aging and very mild Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1997;49:786–94
 51. Jack CR Jr, Slomkowski M, Gracon S, et al. MRI as a biomarker of disease progression in a therapeutic trial of milameline for AD. *Neurology* 2003;60:253–60
 52. Teipel SJ, Ewers M, Wolf S, et al. Multicentre variability of MRI-based medial temporal lobe volumetry in Alzheimer's disease. *Psychiatry Res* 2011;182:244–50
 53. Hampel H, Frank R, Broich K, et al. Biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease: academic, industry and regulatory perspectives. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2010;9:560–74
 54. Petersen RC, Jack CR Jr, Xu YC, et al. Memory and MRI-based hippocampal volumes in aging and AD. *Neurology* 2000;54:581–87
 55. Apostolova LG, Steiner CA, Akopyan GG, et al. Three-dimensional gray matter atrophy mapping in mild cognitive impairment and mild Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol* 2007;64:1489–95
 56. Thompson PM, Hayashi KM, de Zubicaray G, et al. Dynamics of gray matter loss in Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurosci* 2003;23:994–1005
 57. Grundman M, Sencakova D, Jack CR Jr, et al. Brain MRI hippocampal volume and prediction of clinical status in a mild cognitive impairment trial. *J Mol Neurosci* 2002;19:23–27
 58. Chetelat G, Desgranges B, De La Sayette V, et al. Mapping gray matter loss with voxel-based morphometry in mild cognitive impairment. *Neuroreport* 2002;13:1939–43
 59. Karas GB, Scheltens P, Rombouts SA, et al. Global and local gray matter loss in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage* 2004;23:708–16
 60. Schott JM, Fox NC, Frost C, et al. Assessing the onset of structural change in familial Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol* 2003;53:181–88
 61. Duarte A, Hayasaka S, Du A, et al. Volumetric correlates of memory and executive function in normal elderly, mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurosci Lett* 2006;406:60–65
 62. Sexton CE, Kalu UG, Filippini N, et al. A meta-analysis of diffusion tensor imaging in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2012;32:2322.e5–18
 63. Hanyu H, Sakurai H, Iwamoto T, et al. Diffusion-weighted MR imaging of the hippocampus and temporal white matter in Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Sci* 1998;156:195–200
 64. Rose SE, Chen F, Chalk JB, et al. Loss of connectivity in Alzheimer's disease: an evaluation of white matter tract integrity with colour coded MR diffusion tensor imaging. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2000;69:528–30
 65. Zhang Y, Schuff N, Jahng GH, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging of cingulum fibers in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2007;68:13–19
 66. Naggara O, Oppenheim C, Rieu D, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging in early Alzheimer's disease. *Psychiatry Res* 2006;146:243–49
 67. Bozzali M, Falini A, Franceschi M, et al. White matter damage in Alzheimer's disease assessed in vivo using diffusion tensor magnetic resonance imaging. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2002;72:742–46
 68. Sydykova D, Stahl R, Dietrich O, et al. Fiber connections between the cerebral cortex and the corpus callosum in Alzheimer's disease: a diffusion tensor imaging and voxel-based morphometry study. *Cereb Cortex* 2007;17:2276–82
 69. Teipel SJ, Meindl T, Wagner M, et al. Longitudinal changes in fiber tract integrity in healthy aging and mild cognitive impairment: a DTI follow-up study. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2010;22:507–22
 70. Frieze U, Meindl T, Herpertz SC, et al. Diagnostic utility of novel MRI-based biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease: diffusion tensor imaging and deformation-based morphometry. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2010;20:477–90
 71. Muller MJ, Greverus D, Weibrich C, et al. Diagnostic utility of hippocampal size and mean diffusivity in amnesic MCI. *Neurobiol Aging* 2007;28:398–403
 72. Teipel SJ, Reuter S, Stieltjes B, et al. Multicenter stability of diffusion tensor imaging measures: a European clinical and physical phantom study. *Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging* 2011;194:363–71.
 73. Sperling RA, Bates JF, Chua EF, et al. fMRI studies of associative encoding in young and elderly controls and mild Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2003;74:44–50
 74. Rombouts SA, Barkhof F, Veltman DJ, et al. Functional MR imaging in Alzheimer's disease during memory encoding. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2000;21:1869–75
 75. Diamond EL, Miller S, Dickerson BC, et al. Relationship of fMRI activation to clinical trial memory measures in Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2007;69:1331–41
 76. Celone KA, Calhoun VD, Dickerson BC, et al. Alterations in memory networks in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: an independent component analysis. *J Neurosci* 2006;26:10222–31
 77. Grady CL, McIntosh AR, Beig S, et al. Evidence from functional neuroimaging of a compensatory prefrontal network in Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurosci* 2003;23:986–93
 78. Lustig C, Snyder AZ, Bhakta M, et al. Functional deactivations: change with age and dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003;100:14504–09

79. Rombouts SA, Barkhof F, Goekoop R, et al. **Altered resting state networks in mild cognitive impairment and mild Alzheimer's disease: an fMRI study.** *Hum Brain Mapp* 2005;26:231–39
80. Greicius MD, Srivastava G, Reiss AL, et al. **Default-mode network activity distinguishes Alzheimer's disease from healthy aging: evidence from functional MRI.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004;101:4637–42
81. Koch W, Teipel S, Mueller S, et al. **Diagnostic power of default mode network resting state fMRI in the detection of Alzheimer's disease.** *Neurobiol Aging* 2012;33:466–78
82. Wang L, Zang Y, He Y, et al. **Changes in hippocampal connectivity in the early stages of Alzheimer's disease: evidence from resting state fMRI.** *Neuroimage* 2006;31:496–504
83. Bokde ALW, Lopez-Bayo P, Born C, et al. **Functional abnormalities of the visual processing system in subjects with mild cognitive impairment: an fMRI study.** *Psychiatry Res* 2008;163:248–59. Epub 2008 Jul 30
84. Dickerson BC, Salat DH, Greve DN, et al. **Increased hippocampal activation in mild cognitive impairment compared to normal aging and AD.** *Neurology* 2005;65:404–11
85. Sperling R. **Functional MRI studies of associative encoding in normal aging, mild cognitive impairment, and Alzheimer's disease.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2007;1097:146–55
86. Bokde AL, Lopez-Bayo P, Meindl T, et al. **Functional connectivity of the fusiform gyrus during a face-matching task in subjects with mild cognitive impairment.** *Brain* 2006;129:1113–24
87. Sorg C, Riedl V, Muhlau M, et al. **Selective changes of resting-state networks in individuals at risk for Alzheimer's disease.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104:18760–65
88. Wang PN, Lirng JF, Lin KN, et al. **Prediction of Alzheimer's disease in mild cognitive impairment: a prospective study in Taiwan.** *Neurobiol Aging* 2006;27:1797–806
89. Jack CR Jr, Shiung MM, Weigand SD, et al. **Brain atrophy rates predict subsequent clinical conversion in normal elderly and amnesic MCI.** *Neurology* 2005;65:1227–31
90. Spulber G, Niskanen E, MacDonald S, et al. **Whole brain atrophy rate predicts progression from MCI to Alzheimer's disease.** *Neurobiol Aging* 2010;31:1601–05
91. Chetelat G, Landeau B, Eustache F, et al. **Using voxel-based morphometry to map the structural changes associated with rapid conversion in MCI: a longitudinal MRI study.** *Neuroimage* 2005;27:934–46
92. Kantarci K, Petersen RC, Boeve BF, et al. **DWI predicts future progression to Alzheimer disease in amnesic mild cognitive impairment.** *Neurology* 2005;64:902–04
93. Fellgiebel A, Dellani PR, Greverus D, et al. **Predicting conversion to dementia in mild cognitive impairment by volumetric and diffusivity measurements of the hippocampus.** *Psychiatry Res* 2006;146:283–87
94. Miller SL, Fenstermacher E, Bates J, et al. **Hippocampal activation in adults with mild cognitive impairment predicts subsequent cognitive decline.** *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2008;79:630–35
95. Petrella JR, Prince SE, Wang L, et al. **Prognostic value of posteromedial cortex deactivation in mild cognitive impairment.** *PLoS One* 2007;2:e1104
96. Petrella JR, Sheldon FC, Prince SE, et al. **Default mode network connectivity in stable vs progressive mild cognitive impairment.** *Neurology* 2011;76: 511–17