



## Get Clarity On Generics

Cost-Effective CT & MRI Contrast Agents

 **FRESENIUS  
KABI**

[WATCH VIDEO](#)

# AJNR

## Gadolinium-Enhanced MR Cisternography to Evaluate Dural Leaks in Intracranial Hypotension Syndrome

S. Albayram, F. Kilic, H. Ozer, S. Baghaki, N. Kocer and C. Islak

This information is current as of August 14, 2025.

*AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2008, 29 (1) 116-121

doi: <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A0746>

<http://www.ajnr.org/content/29/1/116>

ORIGINAL  
RESEARCH

S. Albayram  
F. Kilic  
H. Ozer  
S. Baghaki  
N. Kocer  
C. Islak

# Gadolinium-Enhanced MR Cisternography to Evaluate Dural Leaks in Intracranial Hypotension Syndrome

**BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE:** We evaluated the use of MR cisternography after intrathecal administration of gadopentetate dimeglumine to detect the presence and localization of CSF leaks in 19 patients diagnosed with spontaneous intracranial hypotension syndrome according to the criteria of International Headache Society.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:** Lumbar puncture with an injection of 0.5 mL of gadopentetate dimeglumine into the subarachnoid space in the lumbar area was performed. MR images of the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar regions in axial, coronal, and sagittal planes with fat-saturated T1-weighted images were acquired.

**RESULTS:** We observed objective CSF leakage in 17 (89%) of 19 patients. In 14 of these 17 patients, the site of dural tear was demonstrated accurately. In 3 of these 17 patients, the contrast leakage was diffuse, and site of the leak could not be located accurately. No leakage was observed in 2 patients. No complications were detected in any of the patients during the first 24 hours after the procedure or during the 6- to 12-month follow-up.

**CONCLUSION:** The current results demonstrate the relative safety, accuracy, and feasibility of intrathecal gadolinium-enhanced MR cisternography to evaluate dural leaks.

The spontaneous intracranial hypotension (SIH) syndrome was originally described by the German neurologist Schaltenbrand<sup>1,2</sup> in 1938 as hypoliquorrhea. The Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society has proposed diagnostic criteria for SIH.<sup>3</sup> Evidence of CSF leakage was accepted as one of the main criteria for SIH diagnosis according to International Classification of Headache Disorders.<sup>3</sup> Although many patients with SIH recover without intervention, many do not.<sup>4,5</sup> Some of these patients do not respond to multiple epidural blood patches and may require more targeted epidural injections, infusions, or surgical repair.<sup>4-6</sup> In these patients, confirmation of CSF leak, localization of the actual site or sites of CSF leak, and characteristics of the dural leaks become important. Despite advances in imaging and the availability of several different and potentially useful diagnostic modalities, accurate demonstration of the site of the CSF leakage remains a challenge for radiologists and clinicians. The purpose of our study was to evaluate and report our initial experience in analyzing CSF leaks in SIH using MR imaging combined with intrathecal administration of a gadolinium-based contrast agent, that is, gadolinium-enhanced MR cisternography.

## Patients and Methods

From January 2004 to January 2007, 19 consecutive patients (7 men and 12 women; aged 25–77 years; mean, 40 ± 5 years) with diagnosis of SIH according to the diagnostic criteria proposed by the Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society<sup>3</sup>

were included in this study (Tables 1–3). All of the patients were observed to have orthostatic headache and at least 1 of the following symptoms and signs: tinnitus, hyperacusis, nausea, neck stiffness, and photophobia. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the ethics committees of Istanbul University Cerrahpasa Medical School. Informed consent was obtained from all of the patients.

All of the patients were first treated symptomatically with bed rest and increased fluid and caffeine intake. After 1–2 weeks of symptomatic treatment, if a patient responded poorly to this treatment, we then performed intrathecal gadolinium-enhanced MR cisternography to detect the exact location of the dural leak and its characteristics. One week after the MR cisternography, all of the patients were treated by applying an epidural blood patch. All of the patients responded within 72 hours of this application (immediate relief, not complete cure of disease). As a result, all of the patients included in this study fulfilled the SIH criteria (Table 1) proposed by Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society.<sup>3</sup>

All of the lumbar puncture procedures were performed under fluoroscopy. Because we had already performed a lumbar puncture, additional CSF was not withdrawn. Saline (4 mL) mixed with a single volume of gadopentetate dimeglumine (0.5 mL, Magnevist; Schering, Berlin, Germany; 469.01 mg of gadopentetate dimeglumine per milliliter) was injected into the subarachnoid space, and the needle (22-ga spinal needle) was removed. The patients stayed in elbow-knee position for 15 minutes after injection. One hour after the injection, with the patient in a supine position, fat-saturated T1-weighted images were obtained in 3 orthogonal planes (cervical, thoracic, and lumbar). Coronal and sagittal T1-weighted images (500/17 TR ms/TE ms; 2 signals acquired) and axial T1-weighted images (600/17 TR ms/TE ms; 2 signals acquired) were obtained using a 1.5T MR unit (Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany). All of the patients were observed in the hospital for 24 hours after the procedure. The authors (S.A., H.O.) reviewed all of the images. After the patients had returned to the ward, hourly checks were made for headache progression, gross behavioral alterations, neurologic impairment, changes in mental status, subjective complaints, and vital signs, as well as for

Received March 5, 2007; accepted after revision May 24.

From the Division of Neuroradiology, Department of Radiology (S.A., F.K., H.O., N.K., C.I.), and Departments of Plastic, Reconstructive, and Aesthetic Surgery (S.B.), Cerrahpasa Medical School, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey.

Please address correspondence to Sait Albayram, Division of Neuroradiology, Department of Radiology, Istanbul University, Cerrahpasa Medical School, KMP Istanbul 34300 Turkey; e-mail: salbayram@hotmail.com

DOI 10.3174/ajnr.A0746

**Table 1: The diagnostic criteria for SIH proposed by Headache<sup>3</sup> Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society**

- A. Diffuse and/or dull headache that worsens within 15 minutes after sitting or standing, with at least 1 of the following and fulfilling criterion:
  1. Neck stiffness
  2. Tinnitus
  3. Hyperacusis
  4. Photophobia
  5. Nausea
- B. At least 1 of the following:
  1. Evidence of low CSF pressure on MR imaging (eg, pachymeningeal enhancement)
  2. Evidence of CSF leakage on conventional myelography, CT myelography, or cisternography
  3. CSF opening pressure <60 mm H<sub>2</sub>O in sitting position
- C. No history of dural puncture or other cause of CSF fistula
- D. Headache resolves within 72 hours after epidural blood patching

more serious events such as seizure activity and anaphylactoid reactions. Assessments were made in comparison with the baseline findings before MR cisternography. In addition, monthly clinical neurologic follow-up was performed for 12 months. Follow-up was undertaken by the neuroradiologist (S.A., F.K., S.B., and H.O.) in charge of the project.

## Results

No patients showed gross behavioral changes, neurologic impairment, alterations in mental status; changes in vital signs from baseline, anaphylactic, or other allergic reaction; or seizure activity at any time during the initial 24 hours after intrathecal gadolinium-enhanced MR cisternography or at monthly follow-up for 12 months. Increase in orthostatic headache seen in 5 patients after the procedure is related to lumbar puncture performed, and these new symptoms resolved spontaneously after 36 hours of lying flat.

In all of the patients, the gadopentetate dimeglumine entered the subarachnoid space at the spinal level and enhanced the subarachnoid space totally. Erroneous, pure, epidural injections were not performed. We observed objective CSF leakage in 17 (89%) of 19 patients (Table 3). In 14 of these 17 patients with CSF leakage, the site of dural tear was accurately demonstrated (Fig 1). However, in the remaining 3 patients, as the result of a high-volume fistula, diffuse epidural and paravertebral accumulation of the contrast medium impeded our locating the exact site of dural tear (Table 3).

In patients in whom the tear could be accurately localized, 10 demonstrated a single tear site, whereas the remaining 4 patients demonstrated multiple tear sites. In patients with a single tear site, the level of the tear was thoracic in 7 patients, lumbar in 2 patients, and cervical in 1 patient. There were 2 tears in 3 patients and more than 2 tears in 1 patient. In patients with diffuse leakage of CSF, 2 had dorsolumbar and 1 had dorsal involvement (Fig 2).

Of 17 patients, 13 demonstrated concomitant epidural and paravertebral contrast leakage. In the other 4 patients, the leakage site was paravertebral without prominent epidural involvement. One or more meningeal diverticula at the spinal level were demonstrated in 11 patients. A cervical disk and dural tear at this level were detected in 1 patient, and 1 patient was detected as having a thoracic dorsal disk.

## Discussion

In patients unresponsive to conservative approaches or to an initial epidural blood patch, it is essential to demonstrate not only leakage but also the site and morphology. This is because the treatment strategy differs dependent on the location of the leak or leaks (dorsolumbar versus cervicothoracic) and the morphology (blood patch versus surgery). Although CSF leakage can be detected intraoperatively, exact localization of the dural tear may not be possible in some cases.<sup>6,7</sup> In such a case, the surgeon must be satisfied simply with packing the epidural region. This reflects on the importance of detecting dural tears preoperatively, which may not be demonstrated intraoperatively. Before the introduction of imaging modalities, SIH syndrome was thought to be the result of decreased CSF production or hyperabsorption. However, the underlying cause of SIH is now understood as being caused by a CSF leak.<sup>4-6</sup> Detection of dural leaks is important both in diagnosing SIH and in determining the best treatment strategy. Evidence of CSF leakage was accepted as one of the main criteria for diagnosis of SIH according to the International Classification of Headache Disorders.<sup>3</sup>

Evidence of CSF leakage is currently detected by conventional myelography, radionuclide cisternography (RC), or CT cisternography. Conventional myelography is now obsolete, because it lacks cross-sectional features and anatomic superposition. RC is an indirect and direct confirmatory test of SIH. The presence of SIH is indirectly proven by the lack of injected dye ascending, rapid disappearance of the radioisotope from the CSF, and an early appearance of the radioisotope in the urinary bladder.<sup>8,9</sup> However, the leakage site may not be demonstrated in lumbar cisternography, and several reports have shown the inability of RC in demonstrating the site of leaks.<sup>6,8,9</sup> Other disadvantages of RC are its poor spatial resolution, its invasiveness, and the possible radioisotope extravasation through the needle tract that can result in inaccuracy in its interpretation.<sup>10</sup>

CT cisternography is considered the most reliable imaging technique for localizing the actual site or spinal level of a CSF leak.<sup>5-7</sup> In a study by Mokri,<sup>5</sup> CT cisternography identified the spinal level of a CSF leak in 67% of patients compared with 50% and 55% with spinal MR imaging and RC, respectively. Because of a lack of a "gold standard" imaging study, the sensitivity or specificity of each imaging study cannot be evaluated. Spinal MR imaging frequently shows extra-arachnoid or extradural fluid collections, enhancement of cervical dura, and dilation or engorgement of the epidural venous plexus. The location of the extra-arachnoid or extradural fluid collections rarely reflects the actual site of a CSF leak.<sup>5,6,11</sup>

The BEIR VII report, published in 2005 by the largest scientific organization in the world, the National Academy of Sciences, has described a 1-in-1000 chance of developing cancer from a single radiation exposure of 10 mSv.<sup>12</sup> Ten mSv is approximately equal to 1 CT study of the chest region, the abdominal region, or the pelvic region. The risk in children is even higher, with a reported chance of 1 in 550 of developing cancer. The judicious use of CT in children cannot be overemphasized. Judicious use of CT in adults up to the age of 40 years should be exercised. Pelvic and chest CT studies should be held to a minimum in women because of the risk to the gonads and breast tissue, respectively.<sup>12</sup> It is obvious that the dose in CT

**Table 2: Summary of diagnostic features in 19 patients with a diagnosis of SIH**

| Patient No. | Cranial MR Imaging | Spinal MR Imaging | CSF Pressure | CT Myelography or RC | Dural Puncture or Other Causes | Response to EBP (Immediate Relief*) |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1           | —                  | +                 | N            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 2           | +                  | —                 | ↓            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 3           | +                  | —                 | 0            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 4           | +                  | —                 | 0            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 5           | +                  | —                 | ↓            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 6           | +                  | —                 | ↓            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 7           | +                  | —                 | ↓            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 8           | +                  | —                 | ↓            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 9           | +                  | +                 | ↓            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 10          | +                  | —                 | ↓            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 11          | +                  | —                 | ↓            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 12          | —                  | +                 | N            | +                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 13          | +                  | —                 | ↓            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 14          | +                  | —                 | ↓            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 15          | +                  | —                 | 0            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 16          | +                  | —                 | ↓            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 17          | +                  | +                 | ↓            | +                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 18          | +                  | +                 | ↓            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |
| 19          | +                  | —                 | ↓            | —                    | —                              | +                                   |

**Note:**—SIH indicates spontaneous intracranial hypotension; RC, radionuclide cisternography; EBP, epidural blood patch; N, normal; ↓, low; +, yes; —, no.  
 \* Immediate relief means that the patient symptoms resolve due to the pressure effect of EBP. This does not address complete cure of the disease.

**Table 3: Summary of MR cisternography findings of 19 patients with diagnosis of SIH**


| Patient No. | CSF Leak | Exact Location of CSF Leak | No. of the Dural Tear | Location of CSF Leak                  | Epidural Collection | Paravertebral Collection | Meningeal Diverticulum | Associated Findings            |
|-------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1           | +        | +                          | Single                | Right T12                             | +                   | +                        | —                      | —                              |
| 2           | —        | —                          | —                     | —                                     | —                   | —                        | +                      | —                              |
| 3           | +        | Diffuse                    | ?                     | Bilateral T11-12 and L1-2             | +                   | +                        | —                      | —                              |
| 4           | +        | Diffuse                    | ?                     | Bilateral T10-11-12 and L1-2-3        | +                   | +                        | +                      | —                              |
| 5           | +        | +                          | Single                | Left T1                               | +                   | +                        | —                      | —                              |
| 6           | +        | +                          | Single                | C6 anteriorly                         | +                   | +                        | +                      | Cervical osteophyte and hernia |
| 7           | +        | +                          | Multiple              | Bilateral C7 and T1                   | +                   | +                        | +                      | —                              |
| 8           | +        | +                          | Single                | Right T10                             | +                   | +                        | —                      | —                              |
| 9           | +        | +                          | Single                | Right T2                              | +                   | +                        | —                      | —                              |
| 10          | +        | +                          | Single                | Left L2                               | —                   | +                        | +                      | —                              |
| 11          | +        | +                          | Multiple              | Bilateral C7 and T5                   | +                   | +                        | +                      | Thoracic osteophyte and hernia |
| 12          | +        | +                          | Single                | Right L1                              | —                   | +                        | +                      | —                              |
| 13          | +        | +                          | Single                | Left T4                               | +                   | +                        | +                      | —                              |
| 14          | +        | +                          | Multiple              | Bilateral T4-T5                       | —                   | +                        | +                      | —                              |
| 15          | +        | +                          | Single                | Bilateral T7                          | +                   | +                        | —                      | —                              |
| 16          | +        | Diffuse                    | ?                     | Bilateral T11-T12 and Left T9-T10     | +                   | +                        | —                      | Prominent epidural veins       |
| 17          | —        | —                          | —                     | —                                     | —                   | —                        | +                      | —                              |
| 18          | +        | +                          | Multiple              | Bilateral T2-T3 and Bilateral T11-T12 | +                   | +                        | —                      | —                              |

**Note:**—SIH indicates spontaneous intracranial hypotension; C, cervical; T, thoracic; L, lumbar; ?, not detected due to diffuse CSF leakage; +, yes; —, no.

cisternography is higher than 10 mSv, because cervical, thoracic, and lumbar regions are scanned during CT cisternography. Additional delayed scans are frequently required in a slow-flow fistula, and CT fluoroscopy is required to construct cine images for a high-volume fistula. These studies of high-dose imaging are unacceptable in SIH patients, mostly for middle-aged women. Considering the high doses and its lack of “gold-standard” sensitivity, it is evident that more reliable and safer techniques are required to detect CSF leakage.

Since the introduction of gadolinium-diethylene-triaminepentaacetic acid (Gd-DTPA) as a contrast agent for intravenous application, it has established itself as a safe means of contrast enhancement in MR imaging.<sup>13,14</sup> Although adverse reactions from Gd-DTPA are rare, they do

include nausea, vomiting, headache, anaphylactoid reactions, and seizure.<sup>15,16</sup> In the past few years, solute Gd-DTPA also has been used to contrast cavities such as joints<sup>17</sup> and the subarachnoid space.<sup>18-26</sup> Intrathecal gadolinium (gadopentetate dimeglumine) is currently used to evaluate CSF fistula mostly for rhinorrhea. Jenkins et al<sup>23</sup> first described this technique. Later, Reiche et al<sup>24</sup> and Aydin et al<sup>25</sup> used the same technique to evaluate CSF fistulas. In addition, a multicenter human study by Tali et al<sup>26</sup> evaluated the safety and clinical response to gadopentetate dimeglumine in 95 patients who presented clinically with a variety of cranial or spinal signs and symptoms. These studies show the relative safety and tolerance of low-dose (0.5 mL) intrathecal gadolinium administration.<sup>23-26</sup> Regarding the intra-



**Fig 1.** Axial T1-weighted fat-saturated MR image shows a right-sided CSF leak at the cervicothoracic junction extending into the right paraspinal soft tissue (arrows) and epidural collection (arrowhead).

the use of other gadolinium preparations, there has been no report about their safety.


We objectively detected dural leakage, reflecting passage of contrast medium from the subarachnoid space to the epidural and/or paravertebral space in 17 patients. We could not detect any leak in 2 patients. Thus, the sensitivity of MR cisternography is approximately 17 (89%) of 19 in patients with SIH. Although no conclusive data have been reported, this rate is

better than CT, which approaches 67% at best, RC (55%), and MR imaging (50%).<sup>4-6</sup>

In 10 patients, we detected a single dural tear, though in 4 patients, there were multiple dural tears. In 3 patients, contrast leakage expanding to the entire thoracic and thorocolumbar areas was seen. This is probably reflective of a high-volume fistula, and, therefore, the real site of dural tear could not be detected. Contrast leakage was seen in the thorocolumbar area in 2 of these patients and in the dorsal area in 1. In patients with a single dural tear, the site of tear was dorsal in 7, cervical in 1, and lumbar in 2 cases. In patients with multiple dural tears, leakage in both cervical and thoracic areas was seen in 2 patients, in the thoracic area in 1 patient, and in both the thoracic and lumbar regions in 1 patient. In the literature, leakage is usually reported as being seen in the cervical-thoracic and thoracic areas. In our study, the dorsal area generally was the site of leakage. In patients with diffuse leakage, the thorocolumbar area was primarily involved.

The true incidence of diffuse leakage, which is partially discussed by Luetmer and Mokri<sup>27</sup> in their CT cisternography study, is not known. Because of the high viscosity of CT contrast agent, it is thought that diffuse leakage of contrast agent would result in a lower detection rate in CT cisternography than that of MR cisternography. Comparative studies are required to elucidate true rates. With MR cisternography, early images (15 minutes) may partially prevent this problem. If intrathecal injection is provided in MR gantry, this will again prevent this problem.

Multiple dural tears required attention in 4 patients. In the past, the dural tear concept was thought to be a single-site



**Fig 2.** Coronal (A) and axial (B and C) T1-weighted fat-saturated MR images reveal diffuse epidural (arrowhead) and paravertebral contrast accumulation (arrows) at the thoracolumbar area.





**Fig 3.** Coronal and sagittal T1-weighted fat-saturated MR images show multiple bilateral meningeal diverticula (arrows) at the thoracic and lumbar level.

tense with contrast passage. In inexperienced hands, this finding may be interpreted as a dural tear or as paravertebral contrast leakage. This finding has its own characteristics, for example, a continuous tubular shape and an anatomic tract of vein passage (medial to lateral and posterior to anterior). In addition, the needle tract, which is generally not seen on CT, and epidural contrast accumulation beside the needle tract are important landmarks for false positivity.

Actually, meningeal diverticula are thought to be involved in the etiology to dural tears. Cohen-Gadol et al<sup>7</sup> reported meningeal diverticula in 9 (69%) of 13 patients in their series. We detected meningeal diverticula in 11 (58%) of 19 patients in this study. This rate seems to be much higher than that of CT, RC, and spinal MR imaging. MR cisternography is a more useful technique for this purpose. We detected multiple dorsal meningeal diverticula in one patient and cervical, dorsal, and lumbar giant meningeal diverticula in another patient.


This study did not compare CT, RC, spinal MR imaging, or intrathecal gadolinium-injected MR cisternography. However, we believe that the findings of 2 patients may provide a perspective. In 1 patient, RC, CT cisternography, and MR imaging all revealed multiple meningeal diverticula, some of which were giant; however, we could not detect any objective sign of leakage (Fig 3). This condition may have resulted from 2 reasons: either the leakage pattern is intermittent or there is fistula with very little output that could not be detected using MR cisternography. In another patient, CT cisternography revealed only meningeal diverticulars in the lower dorsal area with no objective sign of leakage. However, MR cisternography detected a meningeal diverticula, as well as an objective sign of leakage on right side of the L1 vertebra (Fig 4).

We did not compare CT cisternography with RC because we considered it unethical for patients to undergo a second

regularly bordered area. However, studies have shown that these tears may be complex and multiple, despite isolated ones like lumbar puncture dural tears.<sup>6,7,28</sup> In a recent publication by Cohen-Gadol et al<sup>7</sup> examining 13 patients, single dural tears were seen in 8 patients. There were 2 dural tears in 3 patients, and more than 2 dural tears in 2 patients. In our study, 3 patients presented 2 dural tears, and in 1 patient, there were more than 2 dural tears. These results seem to parallel previous series.

In 13 of 17 patients in this study, epidural contrast leakage was detected. In the remaining 4, contrast leakage was seen in the paravertebral area or neural foramina without prominent epidural leakage. These findings demonstrate that tears may be proximal along the dura, as well as distal, along the radicular dural sheath.

An important point regarding this technique is that the epidural and paravertebral contrast veins become hyperin-



**Fig 4.** A, Axial CT cisternography image shows right meningeal diverticulum (arrow) without CSF leak at the level of L1. B, Axial T1-weighted fat-saturated MR image reveals both a CSF leak on the right side (arrowheads) and a right meningeal diverticulum (arrow).

intrathecal contrast-enhanced cisternographic study solely for the sake of data collection and comparison. Intrathecal administration of a gadolinium-based contrast agent is not currently approved worldwide. Furthermore, our study represents an investigation of the use of only 1 gadolinium preparation, gadopentetate dimeglumine. Because other gadolinium-based contrast agents exist, our findings cannot be generalized to the routine intrathecal use of all gadolinium-based contrast agents. Although a relatively low-dose Gd (0.5 mL) is used in MR cisternography, it must be kept in mind that Gd can cause nephrogenic systemic fibrosis, especially in patients with chronic renal failure.

This technique does not need to be applied to all patients with classic SIH symptoms, signs, and imaging findings. In patients who do not respond to 1 or 2 epidural blood patches, this technique seems to be effective to detect and localize accurate sites of dural leak. It provides multiplanar capabilities without risk of radiation exposure. It seems to be an excellent alternative to CT cisternography. Gd-DTPA-enhanced MR cisternography offers an excellent approach to depict the anatomy of CSF spaces and CSF fistulas. However, to determine its diagnostic significance, further clinical studies evaluating greater patient numbers are necessary. In addition, long-term patient follow-up is needed to detect delayed complications, such as arachnoiditis.

## References

- Schaltenbrand G. Neuere anschauungen zur pathophysiologie der liquorzirkulation. *Zentralbl Neurochir* 1938;3:290–300
- Schaltenbrand G. Normal and pathological physiology of the cerebrospinal fluid circulation. *Lancet* 1953;1:805–08
- Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society. The international classification of headache disorders: 2nd ed. *Cephalalgia* 2004;24(suppl 1):9–160
- Mokri B, Krueger BR, Miller GM, et al. Meningeal gadolinium enhancement in low-pressure headaches. *Ann Neurol* 1991;30:294–95
- Mokri B. Spontaneous cerebrospinal fluid leaks: from intracranial hypotension to cerebrospinal fluid hypovolemia—evolution of a concept [review]. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1999;74:1113–23
- Schievink WI. Spontaneous spinal cerebrospinal fluid leaks and intracranial hypotension. *JAMA* 2006;295:2286–96
- Cohen-Gadol AA, Mokri B, Piepgras DG, et al. Surgical anatomy of dural defects in spontaneous spinal cerebrospinal fluid leaks. *Neurosurgery* 2006;58(4 Suppl 2):ONS-238–45
- Spelle L, Boulin A, Tainturier C, et al. Neuroimaging features of spontaneous intracranial hypotension. *Neuroradiology* 2001;43:622–27
- Moriyama E, Ogawa T, Nishida A, et al. Quantitative analysis of radioisotope cisternography in the diagnosis of intracranial hypotension. *J Neurosurg* 2004;101:421–26
- Benzon HT, Jabri RS, Walker MT, et al. The role of computerized tomography-myelography in a patient with spontaneous intracranial hypotension from multiple cerebrospinal fluid leaks. *Clin J Pain* 2006;22:831–33
- Chiapparini L, Farina L, D'Incerti L, et al. Spinal radiological findings in nine patients with spontaneous intracranial hypotension. *Neuroradiology* 2002;44:143–52
- Health Risks from Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation: BEIR VII-Phase 2. 2005. Available at: <http://books.nap.edu/catalog/11340.html>. Accessed February 1, 2006
- Niendorf HP, Hausteijn J, Cornelius I, et al. Safety of gadolinium-DTPA: extended clinical experience. *Magn Reson Med* 1991;22:222–32
- Niendorf HP, Hausteijn J, Louton T, et al. Safety and tolerance after intravenous administration of 0.3 mmol/kg Gd-DTPA. Results of a randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Invest Radiol* 1991;26(suppl 1):S221–23; discussion S232–35
- Tishler S, Hoffman JC Jr. Anaphylactoid reactions to i.v. gadopentetate dimeglumine. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1990;11:1167;discussion 1168–69
- Harbury OL. Generalized seizure after i.v. administration of gadopentetate dimeglumine. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1991;12:666
- Grainger AJ, Elliott JM, Campbell RS, et al. Direct MR arthrography: a review of current use. *Clin Radiol* 2000;55:163–76
- Siebner HR, Graf von Einsiedel H, Conrad B. Magnetic resonance ventriculography with gadolinium DTPA: report of two cases. *Neuroradiology* 1997;39:418–22
- Zeng Q, Xiong L, Jinkins JR, et al. Intrathecal gadolinium-enhanced MR myelography and cisternography: a pilot study in human patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1999;173:1109–15
- Wenzel R, Leppien A. Gadolinium-myelocisternography for cerebrospinal fluid rhinorrhoea. *Neuroradiology* 2000;42:874–80
- Ray DE, Cavanagh JB, Nolan CC, et al. Neurotoxic effects of gadopentetate dimeglumine: behavioral disturbance and morphology after intracerebroventricular injection in rats. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1996;17:365–73
- Skalpe IO. Is it dangerous to inject MR contrast media into the subarachnoid space? *Acta Radiol* 1998;39:100
- Jinkins JR, Rudwan M, Krumina G, et al. Intrathecal gadolinium-enhanced MR cisternography in the evaluation of clinically suspected cerebrospinal fluid rhinorrhea in humans: early experience. *Radiology* 2002;222:555–59
- Reiche W, Komenda Y, Schick B, et al. MR cisternography after intrathecal Gd-DTPA application. *Eur Radiol* 2002;12:2943–49
- Aydin K, Guven K, Sencer S, et al. MRI cisternography with gadolinium-containing contrast medium: its role, advantages and limitations in the investigation of rhinorrhoea. *Neuroradiology* 2004;46:75–80
- Tali ET, Ercan N, Krumina G, et al. Intrathecal gadolinium (gadopentetate dimeglumine) enhanced magnetic resonance myelography and cisternography: results of a multicenter study. *Invest Radiol* 2002;37:152–59
- Luetmer PH, Mokri B. Dynamic CT myelography: a technique for localizing high-flow spinal cerebrospinal fluid leaks. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2003;24:1711–14
- Hufner K, Koch W, Stander M, et al. Three sites of high-flow CSF leakage in spontaneous intracranial hypotension. *Neurology* 2006;66:775–76